



**2020**

**Interoperability  
Standards  
Advisory**

**Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT**

*Reference Edition*

# Table of Contents

Introduction to the 2020 Interoperability Standards Advisory.....	1
Section I: Vocabulary/Code Set/Terminology Standards and Implementation Specifications.....	2
Allergies and Intolerances.....	2
Clinical Notes.....	4
Cognitive Status .....	5
Demographics .....	6
Dietary and Nutritional Needs .....	7
Emergency Medical Services.....	8
Encounter Diagnosis, Assessment and Plan.....	9
Family Health History.....	11
Functional Status/Disability.....	12
Goals .....	13
Health Care Providers, Family Members and Other Caregivers.....	13
Health Concerns.....	15
Imaging (Diagnostics, Interventions and Procedures) .....	15
Immunizations.....	16
Industry and Occupation .....	18
Laboratory.....	19
Medications.....	20
Nursing.....	21
Patient Clinical “Problems” (i.e., conditions).....	23
Preferred Language.....	23
Pregnancy Status .....	24
Procedures.....	24
Provenance.....	26
Race and Ethnicity .....	27
Research.....	28
Sex at Birth, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity.....	29
Social, Psychological, and Behavioral Data .....	32
Tobacco Use (Smoking Status).....	39
Units of Measure.....	41
Vital Signs.....	42

Section II: Content/Structure Standards and Implementation Specifications ..... 43

Admission, Discharge, and Transfer..... 43

Care Coordination for Referrals..... 45

Care Plan ..... 47

Clinical Decision Support ..... 51

Clinical Quality Measurement and Reporting..... 54

Data Provenance ..... 57

Diet and Nutrition ..... 58

Drug Formulary & Benefits ..... 59

Electronic Prescribing..... 60

Family Health History (Clinical Genomics) ..... 82

Healthy Weight ..... 83

Images ..... 84

Laboratory..... 87

Medical Device Communication to Other Information Systems/Technologies ..... 91

Patient Education Materials ..... 92

Patient Identification Management ..... 93

Patient Preference/Consent ..... 94

Public Health Reporting..... 95

Research..... 106

Segmentation of Sensitive Information..... 112

Summary Care Record ..... 113

Unique Device Identification ..... 114

Section III: Standards and Implementation Specifications for Services/Transport/Exchange..... 117

“Push” Exchange ..... 117

Clinical Decision Support Services..... 122

Consumer Access/Exchange of Health Information ..... 124

Healthcare Directory, Provider Directory ..... 129

Image Exchange..... 130

Patient Identification Management ..... 132

Public Health Exchange ..... 133

Publish and Subscribe ..... 133

Query..... 134

Resource Location ..... 138

Section IV: Administrative Standards and Implementation Specifications ..... 139

Administrative Transactions - Non-Claims .....	139
Administrative Transactions to Financial Exchanges .....	143
Administrative Transactions to Support Clinical Care .....	146
CMS Interoperability Standards for Provider to Provider Communication.....	150
Health Care Claims and Coordination of Benefits.....	153
Operating Rules to Support Administrative Transactions .....	160
Appendices.....	167

The Interoperability Standards Advisory represents the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology's current assessment of the health IT standards landscape. It is for informational purposes only. It is non-binding and does not create nor confer any rights or obligations for or on any person or entity.

This document contains numerous links to other federal agencies and to private organizations. You are subject to these sites' privacy policies when you access them. HHS is not responsible for Section 508 compliance (accessibility) on other federal or private sites. HHS is not responsible for the contents of any "off-site" web page referenced from this document.

## **Introduction to the 2020 Interoperability Standards Advisory**

The Interoperability Standards Advisory (ISA) process represents the model by which the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) coordinates the identification, assessment, and public awareness of interoperability standards and implementation specifications that can be used by the healthcare industry to address specific interoperability needs including, but not limited to, interoperability for clinical, public health, research and administrative purposes. ONC encourages all stakeholders to implement and use the standards and implementation specifications identified in the ISA as applicable to the specific interoperability needs they seek to address. Furthermore, ONC encourages further pilot testing and industry experience to be sought with respect to standards and implementation specifications identified as “emerging” in the ISA.

The 2020 Reference Edition ISA reflects the numerous changes made across the ISA throughout 2019. To learn more about what has changed, refer to the [Recent ISA Updates](#) page, which provides a summary of major changes to the ISA. In addition, registered users may subscribe to change notifications to be alerted by e-mail of all revisions to individual interoperability needs or for ISA-wide changes. Anyone may become a registered user, by submitting an [account request](#). Once logged in, look for the blue “change notification” button at the bottom of the interoperability need page, or at the bottom of the home page to be notified of any changes across the ISA. An [RSS feed](#), capturing more granular changes to individual pages across the ISA, is also available.

For additional information about the ISA, including scope, purpose, structure, and an overview of the informative characteristics attributed to each standard/implementation specification, please see the Introduction text located at [www.healthit.gov/isa](http://www.healthit.gov/isa)

## Section I: Vocabulary/Code Set/Terminology Standards and Implementation Specifications

### Allergies and Intolerances

#### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Allergic Reactions

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SNOMED CT® may not be sufficient to differentiate between an allergy or adverse reaction, or the level of severity.</li> <li>For use of SNOMED CT®, codes should generally be chosen from the Clinical finding axis.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix II for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>'<a href="#">Adverse Clinical Reaction</a>' value set (OID: 2.16.840.1.113883.3.2074.1.1.30) contains SNOMED CT findings and disorders resulting from reactions to substances</li> <li>'<a href="#">Allergy and Intolerance Type</a>' value set (OID: 2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.6.2) contains SNOMED CT disorders representing classes of reactions and intolerances</li> </ul>

#### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Allergies and Intolerances; Environmental Substances

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback is requested as to the extent the suggested value sets using SNOMED CT parent and child codes for environmental allergens are sufficient to meet the needs for starter value set.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Common Environmental Substances for Allergy and Intolerance documentation (<a href="#">2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1186.4</a>)</li> <li><a href="#">Allergic disposition (disorder) (SNOMEDCT 609328004)</a> is parent code to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Environmental allergy (disorder) (SNOMEDCT 426232007)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Allergy to substance (disorder) (SNOMED CT 419199007)</a> and other related codes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Allergies and Intolerances; Food Substances

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>		<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback is requested as to the extent the suggested value sets using SNOMED CT parent and child codes for food allergens are sufficient to meet the needs for starter value set.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Adverse Clinical Reaction (2.16.840.1.113883.3.2074.1.1.30)</a> (SNOMED CT® value set)</li> <li><a href="#">Propensity to adverse reactions to food (disorder) (SNOMEDCT 418471000)</a> is parent SNOMEDCT code to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Food allergy (disorder) (SNOMEDCT 414285001)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Food intolerance (disorder) (SNOMEDCT 235719002)</a></li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">Food Allergen (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1156.1)</a> (SNOMED CT® disorder and finding value set-Steward Partners Healthcare)</li> <li><a href="#">Common dietary substances for allergy and intolerance documentation (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1186.3)</a> (SNOMED CT® disorder and finding value set-Steward HL7 Patient Care Work Group)</li> </ul>					

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Allergies and Intolerances; Medications

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">RxNorm</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">Medication Reference Terminology (MED-RT)</a>	Final	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>		<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>When a medication allergy necessitates capture by medication class, SNOMED CT® should be used.</li> <li>MED-RT is meant to replace the VA's NDF-RT which was sunsetted in 2018. MED-RT has the capability to represent medication classes for use as an allergen category, and currently requires MeSH terms for medication classes.</li> <li>RxNorm: Refers to the RxNorm source specifically (and not to other sources that are included with the RxNorm download).</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Representing Medication <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Clinical Drug Ingredient (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.7) (RxNorm ingredient codes)</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>Representing Drug Classes for Allergy and Intolerance documentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Pharmaceutical / biologic product (product) (SNOMED CT 373873005) is parent to pharmaceutical/biologic classes</a></li> <li>Common Drug Classes for Allergy and Intolerance documentation (<a href="#">2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1186.2</a>)</li> <li>Common Drug Substances for Allergy and Intolerance documentation (<a href="#">2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1186.1</a>)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Representing Adverse Reactions/Intolerances <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <a href="#">Propensity to adverse reactions to drug (disorder) (SNOMED CT 419511003)</a> is parent to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <a href="#">Drug Allergy (disorder) (SNOMED CT 416098002)</a> and child terms/codes</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	--

## Clinical Notes

### Interoperability Need: Representing Clinical Notes

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	Yes	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Argonaut Clinical Notes Implementation Guide</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® US Core Implementation Guide</a>	Balloted Draft	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s)::
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Consultation note is generated as part of a request from a clinician for an opinion or advice from another clinician.</li> <li>• A Discharge Summary note is a synopsis of a patient’s admission and course in a hospital or post-acute care setting.</li> <li>• A History &amp; Physical note documents the current and past conditions of the patient.</li> <li>• An Imaging Narrative contains a consulting specialist's interpretation of image data.</li> <li>• A Laboratory Report Narrative contains a consulting specialist's interpretation of the laboratory report.</li> <li>• A Pathology Report Narrative contains a consulting specialist's interpretation of the pathology report.</li> <li>• A Progress Note represents a patient's interval status during a hospitalization, outpatient visit, treatment with a LTPAC provider, or other healthcare encounter.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consultation note (<a href="#">LOINC® code 11488-4</a>)</li> <li>• Discharge Summary note (<a href="#">LOINC® code 18842-5</a>)</li> <li>• History and Physical note (<a href="#">LOINC® code 34117-2</a>)</li> <li>• Diagnostic imaging study (<a href="#">LOINC® code 18748-4</a>)</li> <li>• Procedure Note (<a href="#">LOINC® code 28570-0</a>)</li> <li>• Progress Note (<a href="#">LOINC® code 11506-3</a>)</li> </ul>



## Cognitive Status

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Cognitive Status

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s)::</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Brief Interview for Mental Status (BIMS) is a screening tool to assess cognitive status and the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) is an instrument used for the identification of delirium. Both the BIMS and CAM are collected on CMS Assessments and can be exchanged to support patient care.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Brief Interview for Mental Status (BIMS)               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MDS 3.0 v1.17 and IRF-PAI 3.0 and 4.0 BIMS (<a href="#">LOINC panel 52491-8</a>)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Confusion Assessment Method (CAM)               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MDS 3.0 v1.17 (<a href="#">LOINC 86585-7</a>)</li> <li>LCDS v4.00 CAM (<a href="#">LOINC panel 85649-2</a>)</li> <li>IRF-PAI 4.0 CAM (LOINC panel 86585-7)</li> <li>LCDS v5.0 CAM (LOINC panel 93417-4)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			

## Demographics

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Contact Information for Telecommunications

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">ITU-T E.123 (02/2001) International Telecommunication Union E.123: Notation for national and international telephone numbers, e-mail addresses and web addresses</a> and <a href="#">ITU-T E.164 International Telecommunication Union E.164: The international public telecommunication numbering plan</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s)::
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Telecom Data Elements:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Phone Number, Phone Number Type - For §170.315 (b)(1) Transitions of care and §170.315 (b)(4) Common Clinical Data Set summary record – create, patient matching data must represent phone number (home, business, cell) in accordance with the above standards. All phone numbers must be included when multiple phone numbers are present.</li> <li>▪ Email Address - Per ITU-T E.123 (02/2001) above, an electronic mail address, if present, should be printed in the SMTP style below the telephone number information, and denoted by the label "E-mail" or some easily recognized variation such as "email," or the equivalent in the appropriate language.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><u>Examples from ITU-T E.123 (02/2001)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multiple phone numbers:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Tel. (0607) 123 4567</li> <li>○ Fax (0607) 123 4568</li> <li>○ Mobile (0607) 321 9876</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Phone numbers and email           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Telephone: (0609) 123 4567</li> <li>○ International +22 609 123 4567</li> <li>○ Mobile (0607) 321 9876</li> <li>○ E-mail: jdeo@isp.com</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Dietary and Nutritional Needs

### Interoperability Need: Representing Nutrition Assessment, Diagnosis, Interventions and Monitoring/Evaluation

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">eNCPT</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	\$	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s)::
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electronic Nutrition Care Process Terminology (eNCPT) is owned, maintained, and distributed by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics to support standardization of the Nutrition Care Process. Many of the terms in the eNCPT have been mapped to SNOMED and/or LOINC.</li> <li>Work is currently underway to develop a food insecurity data set through the <a href="#">Gravity Project</a>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Food and Nutrient Delivery SNOMED CT (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1095.2)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Food and Nutrition Related History LOINC (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1095.78)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Food and Nutrition Related History SNOMED CT (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1095.84)</a></li> </ul>

## Emergency Medical Services

### Interoperability Need: Representing Health Care Data for Emergency Medical Services

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">NEMESIS Version 3.4</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The National Emergency Medical Services Information System (NEMESIS) administered by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration’s Office of Emergency Medical Services provides a universal standard for the collection and transmission of emergency medical services (EMS) operations and patient care data. Using NEMESIS-compliant electronic patient care record (ePCR) software products, data is collected by EMS practitioners at the point of care and includes information on the EMS system response, scene characteristics, patient demographics, patient condition, medical treatment provided, transport decision, patient and incident disposition and EMS system times (e.g., response time, scene time, transport time). NEMESIS includes the National EMS Database which accepts EMS data voluntarily submitted by U.S. States and Territories. Using NEMESIS-compliant ePCR software products, local EMS systems collect a national set of data elements for submission to the National EMS Database through their respective state. Local EMS systems and states have the option to collect additional NEMESIS data elements to meet local and state needs. The NEMESIS standard follows a 5-year revisioning cycle. The two most recent NEMESIS standard versions (V3.3.4 and 3.4.0 as of January 2018) are available for ePCR software product compliance testing and submission to the National EMS Database. NEMESIS standard version 3.5.0 is planned for release by end of 2019. NEMESIS Version 3 standards (i.e., V3.3.4, 3.4.0, and V3.5.0) include integration of several HL7 data standards, such as LOINC, RxNorm, and ICD-10-CM. NEMESIS standard versions V3.3.4 and V3.4.0 are HL7 compliant and ANSI accredited.</li> <li>NEMESIS uses Extensible Markup Language (XML) to move data. States and software companies create products that are used to send and receive EMS data in the proper XML format from agencies to states, then on to the National EMS Database. More information about NEMESIS is available at <a href="https://nemsis.org/technical-resources/">https://nemsis.org/technical-resources/</a></li> <li><a href="#">Mapping and translation resources</a> are available for mapping or translating older versions of the dataset to newer versions of the dataset.</li> </ul>	

## Encounter Diagnosis, Assessment and Plan

### Interoperability Need: Representing Assessment and Plan of Treatment

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s)::</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback Requested.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>			

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Dental Encounter Diagnosis

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNODENT</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	\$	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ICD-10 Dental Diagnosis Codes</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s)::</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SNODENT is owned, maintained and distributed by the American Dental Association (ADA). The SNODENT code set is available under license at no cost for non-commercial use. The license agreement terms also permit licensees to use SNODENT in the development of non-commercial, academic, scholarly articles and presentations for publication.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OID 2.16.840.1.113883.3.3150</li> </ul>			

## Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Medical Encounter Diagnosis

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ICD-10-CM</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	Yes	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of SNOMED CT® codes should generally be chosen from three axes: Clinical finding, Situation with explicit context, and Event.</li> <li>The use of these standards may be further constrained by other standards and implementation specifications found elsewhere in the ISA.</li> <li>Systems should be able to process (or at minimum display) data coded using the older ICD-9-CM standard, as this legacy content still exists and may be used for analysis/decision support/quality measurement needs, where retroactive analysis is often required, but ICD-9 should not be collected for new entries. NLM has maps from ICD-9-CM diagnosis and procedure codes to SNOMED CT to facilitate code translation and integration with newly collected SNOMED CT data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">ICD-9-CM Diagnostic Codes to SNOMED CT</a></li> <li><a href="#">ICD-9-CM Procedure Codes to SNOMED CT</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>A <a href="#">mapping</a> from SNOMED CT® to ICD-10-CM is available from the National Library of Medicine to support semi-automated generation of ICD-10-CM codes from clinical data encoded in SNOMED CT for reimbursement and statistical purposes.</li> <li>HIPAA mandates the use of ICD-10 for pharmacy claims using NCPDP standards, while SNOMED is optional for this use.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problem urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4 (SNOMED CT® code system)</li> <li>Recommended starter set: CORE Problem List Subset urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1018.240</li> </ul>

## Family Health History

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Family Health History

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some details around family genomic health history may not be captured by SNOMED CT®.</li> <li>For clinical genomics purposes, the <a href="#">Human Phenotype Ontology (HPO)</a> developed by Robinson, et al. and uses information from the <a href="#">Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man</a> to generate its terms. It is popular within the genomics community, and is used by some organizations to describe "phenotypic abnormalities".</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix II for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<p>For Diagnosis and Conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Problem Type 2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.2 (LOINC® code system)</li> <li>Problem urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4 (SNOMED CT® code system)</li> </ul> <p>For genomic data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gene Identifier: HGNC Value Set (2.16.840.1.113883.4.642.2.468)</li> <li>Transcript Reference Sequence Identifier: NCBI vocabulary</li> <li>DNA Sequence Variation Identifier: NCBI vocabulary</li> <li>DNA Sequence Variation: HGVS nomenclature (2.16.840.1.113883.4.642.2.392)</li> </ul> <p>For family relationships and roles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Personal Relationship Role Type urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.19563</a></li> <li><a href="#">Personal And Legal Relationship Role Type urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.11.20.12.1</a></li> </ul>

## Functional Status/Disability

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Functional Status and/or Disability

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Resources for this interoperability need include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Social Security Association’s Disability Determination Process</a></li> <li><a href="#">American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine</a> additional resources on Functional Status/Disability.</li> <li>American Medical Association’s <a href="#">“Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment, Sixth Edition”</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>The <a href="#">CMS Data Element Library</a> also provides the ability to download assessment data elements, including functional status, and <a href="#">associated health IT standards</a> from the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI)</li> <li>Long-Term Care Hospital Continuity Assessment Record &amp; Evaluation (CARE) Data Set (LCDS)</li> <li>Resident Assessment Instrument (RAI) Minimum Data Set (MDS)</li> <li>Outcome and Assessment Information Set (OASIS)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The <a href="#">PACIO Workgroup</a> is developing FHIR use cases for the exchange of functional status and cognitive status information between healthcare settings.</li> <li>The interoperability need is directed to cover people’s functional activities at the level of the individual, including activity limitations, the ability to participate in or be involved in all areas of life, and any participation restrictions as a person or member of society.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CMS functional status data elements (and related LOINC and SNOMED representations) are used across multiple settings for collection of Functional Abilities and Goals (found in section GG of post-acute care assessments).</li> <li>Use of the functional status data elements are not limited to post-acute care (PAC) and can be utilized by any setting.</li> </ul> <p><b>Long Term Care Minimum Data Set (MDS) and Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MDS 3.0 v1.17 and IRF-PAI 3.0 and 4.0 - Functional abilities and goals - admission [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 88482-5</a>)</li> <li>MDS 3.0 v1.17 – Functional abilities and goals – Interim Payment Assessment [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 90526-5</a>)</li> <li>MDS 3.0 v1.17 and IRF-PAI 3.0 and 4.0 - Functional abilities and goals - discharge [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 88483-3</a>)</li> </ul> <p><b>Long-Term Care Hospital (LTCH) Continuity Assessment Record and Evaluation (CARE) Data Set</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LCDS v4.0 - Functional abilities and goals [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 88238-1</a>)</li> <li>LCDS v4.0 - Functional abilities and goals -- planned discharge [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 88237-3</a>)</li> <li>LCDS v5.0 - Functional abilities and goals [CMS Assessment] (LOINC panel 93210-3)</li> <li>LCDS v5.0 -- Functional abilities and goals -- planned discharge [CMS Assessment] (LOINC panel 93209-5)</li> </ul> <p><b>Home Health Outcome and Assessment Information Set (OASIS)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OASIS D/D1 - Functional abilities and goals – Start of Care (SOC)/ Resumption of Care (ROC) [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 89572-2</a>)</li> <li>OASIS D/D1 - Functional abilities and goals - follow-up [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 88484-1</a>)</li> <li>OASIS D/D1 - Functional abilities and goals – Discharge from Agency [CMS Assessment] (<a href="#">LOINC panel 89391-7</a>)</li> </ul>



## Goals

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Goals

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback Requested.</li> </ul>							

## Health Care Providers, Family Members and Other Caregivers

### Interoperability Need: Representing Health Care Providers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">National Plan and Provider Enumeration System National Provider Identifier (NPI)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Uniform Claim Committee (NUCC) Health Care Provider Taxonomy</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NPPES permits non-billable care team members to apply for an NPI number to capture the concept of ‘person’.</li> <li>NPI taxonomy does not describe all roles associated with an individual’s care team, however, NUCC Health Care Provider Taxonomy codes cover concepts of other health care providers.</li> <li>The adoption of NPI for pharmacy/prescribing may be higher than for general use, however, not all prescribers (e.g., veterinarians, etc) are able to obtain an NPI.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">NUCC Healthcare Provider Taxonomy (HIPAA) value set OID:2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.1066</a></li> </ul>			

### Interoperability Need: Representing Provider Role in Team Care Settings

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Uniform Claim Committee (NUCC) Health Care Provider Taxonomy</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NUCCPT codes capture roles of direct care providers as well as other members of the care team as well as those provider supporting health services.</li> <li>NUCCPT codes may not capture all provider types, such as Assistant Physicians.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">NUCCPT Healthcare Provider Taxonomy : 2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.1066</a></li> <li><a href="#">Pharmacy e-Health Information Technology Collaborative Occupations of Providers SNOMED CT value set 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1096.129</a></li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Relationship Between Patient and Another Person

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7 V3 Vocabulary</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This value set is derived from the HL7 Vocabulary code system "<a href="#">RoleCode</a>".</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Personal And Legal Relationship Role Type (VSAC OID 2.16.840.1.1138883.11.20.12.1)</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This value set can be used to record relationships based on personal or family ties or through legal assignment of responsibility.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Health Concerns

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Health Concerns

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback Requested.</li> </ul>							

## Imaging (Diagnostics, Interventions and Procedures)

### Interoperability Need: Representing Imaging Diagnostics, Interventions and Procedures

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Radiological Society of North America (<a href="#">Radlex</a>) and <a href="#">Regenstrief Institute (LOINC®)</a> have harmonized terms for radiology procedures.</li> <li><a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)</a> is a code set, maintained by the American Medical Association (AMA) used to bill outpatient and office procedures.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Radlex LOINC Imaging Document Codes</a></li> </ul>			

# Immunizations

## Interoperability Need: Representing Immunizations – Administered

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Vaccines Administered (CVX)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Manufacturing Vaccine Formulation (MVX)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Drug Code (NDC)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">RxNorm</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	\$	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<p><b>General considerations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The list above includes any vocabulary used to record immunizations in any health IT system including IIS, pharmacy, billing, etc.</li> <li>RxNORM is an acceptable alternative code set for local use.</li> </ul> <p><b>For Immunization Information System (IIS) consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The CDC's <a href="#">National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD)</a> developed and maintains the CVX and MVX code systems, and developed and maintains the NDC vaccine tables based on information published by the FDA.</li> <li>CVX codes are designed to represent administered and historical immunizations and will not contain manufacturer-specific information.</li> <li>If an MVX code is paired with a CVX (vaccine administered) code, the specific trade named vaccine may be indicated providing further specificity as to the vaccines administered.</li> <li>There is a potential issue with use of the National Drug Code regarding which code to use when there are multiple active ingredients in a single package or multiple separate ingredients that need to be mixed together. CDC has published guidance on NDC Unit of Use and Unit of Sale; it can be found at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/iis/2d-vaccine-barcodes/downloads/guidance-documenting-ndc.pdf">https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/iis/2d-vaccine-barcodes/downloads/guidance-documenting-ndc.pdf</a>.</li> <li>The IIS community does not utilize RxNorm as a code set and thus it may have limitations for interoperability across systems.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">CVX: Vaccines Administered 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.6</a></li> <li><a href="#">MVX: entire code set</a></li> <li><a href="#">NDC concepts used to represent vaccines</a></li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Immunizations – Historical

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Vaccines Administered (CVX)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Manufacturing Vaccine Formulation (MVX)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Drug Code (NDC)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">RxNorm</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	\$	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<p><b>General considerations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The list above includes any vocabulary used to record immunizations in any health IT system including IIS, pharmacy, billing, etc.</li> <li>NDC has been used by pharmacies to report historical doses for billing purposes, so it is included here in that context.</li> <li>The CDC's <a href="#">National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD)</a> developed and maintains the CVX and MVX code systems, and developed and maintains the NDC vaccine tables based on information published by the FDA.</li> <li>RxNORM is an acceptable alternative code set for local use.</li> </ul> <p><b>For Immunization Information System (IIS) consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CVX codes are designed to represent administered and historical immunizations and will not contain manufacturer-specific information.</li> <li>When an MVX code is paired with a CVX (vaccine administered) code, the specific trade named vaccine may be indicated providing further specificity as to the vaccines administered.</li> <li>MVX is rarely used to record historical vaccines; however, if a provider has the information available in that standard it should be captured and messaged as part of the historical vaccination record.</li> <li>The IIS community does not utilize RxNorm as a code set and thus it may have limitations for interoperability across systems.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">CVX: Vaccines Administered 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.6</a></li> <li><a href="#">MVX: entire code set 2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.826</a></li> <li><a href="#">RxNorm concepts used to represent vaccines</a></li> </ul>

## Industry and Occupation

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Industry and Occupation

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">CDC Census 2010 Industry and Occupation System</a>	In Development	Pilot	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Industry CDC NAICS 2012 (ODH)</a>	In Development	Pilot	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Occupation CDC ONET-SOC2010 (ODH)</a>	In Development	Pilot	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Profile: Occupational Data for Health (ODH), Release 1.1</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Consolidated CDA (C-CDA) R2.1 Supplemental Template for Occupational Data for Health, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>N/A</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An Information Model, Occupational Data for Health (ODH), supports collection of information about the work of patients. Value sets to support ODH are available in PHIN VADS (Public Health Information Network Vocabulary Access and Distribution System) and incorporate the full range of work information based on the ODH information model, including industry, occupation, combat zone date, and volunteer history. NIOSH prepared new value sets for industry and occupation based directly on North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) and the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Occupational Information Network (O*NET)-Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) System. These value sets are useful for clinical care. A prototype to demonstrate collecting the full set of self-reported ODH in health information systems has been developed.</li> <li>The CDC_Census 2010 system is used by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) to classify industry and occupation entries in over 1 million records each year from health data collection systems such as health surveys, registries, and death records. They are based on the US Census' industry and occupation classification system, which is based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) and Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) System. These value sets were referenced in earlier versions of the ISA and in Standards for Trial Use for interoperability and so are still referenced here as an option for developers and vendors. A cross-walk from NAICS2012_ODH and from CDC_ONET-SOC2010 is provided on the PHIN VADS site to support public health activities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Representing Industry <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Past or Present Industry Question (LOINC code 86188-0)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Usual Industry Question (LOINC code 21844-6)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Industry Response (LOINC Answer List LL3925-6)</a></li> <li><a href="#">PHVS_Industry_CDC_Census2010_codes (urn:oid:2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.7187)</a></li> <li><a href="#">PHVS_Industry_NAICS2012_ODH urn:oid: (2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.7900)</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>Representing Occupation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Past or Present Occupation Question (LOINC 11341-5)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Usual Occupation Question (LOINC 21843-8)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Occupation Response (LOINC Answer List LL3926-4)</a></li> <li><a href="#">PHVS_Occupation_CDC_Census2010_codes (urn:oid:2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.7186)</a></li> <li><a href="#">PHVS_Occupation_CDC_ONET-SOC2010_ODH (urn:oid: 2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.7901)</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Laboratory

### Interoperability Need: Representing Laboratory Tests

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Laboratory test and observation work in conjunction with values or results which can be answered numerically or categorically. If the value/result/answer to a laboratory test and observation is categorical that answer should be represented with the SNOMED CT® terminology.</li> <li>A single laboratory test with a single result will have the same LOINC® code for the order and the result or may have a more specific code in the result (for example if the order code was method less or did not declare the system property). A panel order will have an order LOINC® code and multiple result LOINC® terms for each result in the panel.</li> <li><a href="#">Guidance is available</a> for using SNOMED CT® and LOINC® together.</li> <li>LOINC code availability is contingent on assignment by Regenstrief.</li> <li>For more information about representing laboratory tests as a procedure, see the Representing Medical Procedures Interoperability Need in this Section.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">LOINC Top 2000+ Lab Observations - US Version OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.12009.10.2.3</a></li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Laboratory Values/Results

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOINC laboratory test results can be represented by LOINC answer lists, SNOMED CT observations, or when numeric with units of measure, by UCUM. See the Representing Units of Measure Interoperability Need in this Section.</li> </ul>	

## Medications

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Medications

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">RxNorm</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Drug Code (NDC)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RxNorm is often used for the exchange of information; however, it may not be available for export and import by end users.</li> <li>RxNorm is a terminology built on and derived from other terminologies which represent various elements within RxNorm, including dose form and units of measure. RxNorm reflects and preserves the meanings, drug names, attributes, and relationships from its sources.</li> <li>The use of NDC in conjunction with RxNorm can help minimize gaps in representing medications, including compounded products, over-the-counter medications, and herbals.</li> <li>Immunizations are not considered medications for this interoperability need.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grouping Value Set: Medication Clinical Drug 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.4 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medication Clinical General Drug (2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.17)</li> <li>Medication Clinical Brand-specific Drug (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.5) (RxNorm).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Grouping Value Set: Clinical Substance 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medication Clinical Drug (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.4) (RxNorm)</li> <li>Unique Ingredient Identifier - Complete Set (2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.20) (UNII)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



## Nursing

### Interoperability Need: Representing Clinical/Nursing Assessments

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concepts for observation values from <a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a> should generally be chosen from two axes: Clinical finding and Situation with explicit context.</li> <li>When representing validated scales, LOINC® should be used for the question and LOINC® answers (LA Codes) should be used for the answers.</li> <li>Question/Answer (name/value) pairs are a valuable representation of assessments, but best practices indicate the full question with answer should be included in communication.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI) - Version 2.0 [CMS Assessment]: LOINC® 88329-8</a></li> <li><a href="#">Long-Term Care Hospital Continuity Assessment Record &amp; Evaluation (CARE) Data Set (LCDS) v.4.0 [CMS Assessment]: LOINC® 87509-6</a></li> <li><a href="#">Resident Assessment Instrument (RAI) Minimum Data Set (MDS) v.1.16 Nursing Home Comprehensive (NC) item set [CMS Assessment]: LOINC® 88954-3</a></li> <li><a href="#">Outcome and Assessment Information Set (OASIS) - Version D - Start of Care [CMS Assessment]: LOINC® 88373-6</a></li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Nursing Interventions

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to the <a href="#">Journal of Nursing Education</a> nursing interventions can be defined as "any task that a nurse does to or for the patient" or "something that directly leads to a patient outcome."</li> <li>Coded interventions may be linked as related/dependent concepts to observations and assessments, as appropriate.</li> <li>The Procedure axis of SNOMED CT is the terminology used for Nursing Interventions.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A resource available is a <a href="#">map set from ICNP to SNOMED CT</a>.</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Outcomes for Nursing

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other ANA-recognized terminologies should be mapped to LOINC® for comparison across health systems and/or transmission.</li> <li>Use LOINC® if the outcome is a measurement.</li> <li>Use SNOMED CT® if the outcome is an observed assessment that a patient state has improved. In addition, when the outcome is recorded as an assertion (e.g., normotensive, afebrile, etc.) the terminology to be used is SNOMED CT®.</li> <li>Additional information about terminology standards related to nursing is available in an ONC-funded report: <a href="#">Standard Nursing Terminologies (A Landscape Analysis)</a></li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Problems for Nursing

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The use of SNOMED CT® for this interoperability need, codes should generally be chosen from two axes: Clinical finding and Situation with explicit context.</li> <li>Local and other ANA-recognized terminologies should be converted to SNOMED CT® for comparison across health systems and/or transmission.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Starter Set: <a href="#">Nursing Problem List Subset of SNOMED CT</a></li> </ul>

## Patient Clinical “Problems” (i.e., conditions)

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Clinical “Problems” (i.e., Conditions)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The use of SNOMED CT® for this interoperability need, codes should generally be chosen from three axes: Clinical finding, Situation with explicit context, and Event.</li> <li>SNOMED CT® supports the combination of codes (post-coordination) to generate new meaning. Codes from other axes can be used in post-coordination. The need to pick multiple codes may be seen as a disadvantage. This can be avoided if post-coordination is limited to the backend, exposing a single code for users to pick.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix I for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">PHIN VADS Problem Value Set 2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4</a></li> <li><a href="#">CORE Problem List Subset urn:oid: 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1018.240</a></li> </ul>

## Preferred Language

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Preferred Language (Presently)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Request for Comment (RFC) 5646</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RFC 5646 encompasses ISO 639-1, ISO 639-2, ISO 639-3 and other standards related to identifying preferred language.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">PHIN VADS PHVS Language ISO 639-2 Alpha3 (OID 2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.831)</a></li> </ul>

## Pregnancy Status

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Pregnancy Status

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>When patient is currently pregnant, additional data fields should be collected, including: date of pregnancy status, estimated delivery date or gestational age. See applicable value sets and <a href="#">Recommendations from Collaboration of the Health IT Policy and Health IT Standards Committees' Public Health Task Force</a> (Excel File Download, 31KB) for more details.</li> <li>There are ongoing deliberations within CDC and other organizations to identify the best location to capture pregnancy status in provider workflows.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOINC® code: <a href="#">82810-3 Pregnancy status</a></li> <li>SNOMED CT®: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient currently pregnant (finding), 77386006</li> <li>Not pregnant (finding), 60001007</li> <li>Possible pregnancy (finding), 102874004</li> </ul> </li> <li>LOINC® codes: <a href="#">11778-8 Estimated Delivery Date</a> or <a href="#">21299-3 Gestational age method</a></li> </ul>

## Procedures

### Interoperability Need: Representing Dental Procedures Performed

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Code on Dental Procedures and Nomenclature (CDT)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Medical Procedures Performed

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CPT-4</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">HCPCS</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ICD-10-PCS</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ICD-10-PCS is primarily a billing code used only in inpatient settings.</li> <li>CPT and HCPCS are codes used to report procedures and services in outpatient procedures.</li> <li>ICD-10-PCS is named in the 2015 Edition certification rules as an optional code set for procedures.</li> <li>SNOMED CT procedure codes can be used to describe treatment in any clinical setting and is not tied to billing, but can be cross-mapped to corresponding ICD-10-PCS and CPT/HCPCS codes.</li> <li>CPT <a href="#">Proprietary Laboratory Analyses (PLA)</a> codes are published quarterly (1/1, 4/1, 7/1, and 10/1) and are available on the AMA website for representing laboratory procedures. See the Representing Laboratory Tests Interoperability Need in this Section for more information about Laboratory tests.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CPT: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>80047 - 89398 - including Multianalyte Assays with Algorithmic Analyses (MAAA) codes 81490-81599</li> <li><a href="#">Proprietary Laboratory Analyses (PLA)</a> U codes</li> <li>MAAA administrative M Codes (0002M-0013M)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

# Provenance

## Interoperability Need: Representing Data Provenance

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Provenance Resource</a>	Balloted Draft	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	No	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data Elements:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Author Time Stamp-indicates the time the information was recorded</li> <li>▪ Author Organization-the organization the author is associated with at the time they interacted with the data.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Race and Ethnicity

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Race and Ethnicity

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">OMB standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity, Statistical Policy Directive No. 15, Oct 30, 1997</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDC Race and Ethnicity Code Set Version 1.0</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	Yes	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">CDC Race and Ethnicity Code Set Version 1.0</a>, which expands upon and can be rolled up to the OMB standards may help to further define race and ethnicity for this interoperability need as it allows for multiple races and ethnicities to be chosen for the same patient.</li> <li>The high-level race/ethnicity categories in the OMB Standard may be suitable for statistical or epidemiologic or public health reporting purposes but may not be adequate in the pursuit of precision medicine and enhancing therapy or clinical decisions.</li> <li>LOINC® provides observation codes for use in the observation / observation value pattern for communicating race and ethnicity.</li> <li>The LOINC® answers for Race look similar to CDC/HL70005, but don't match; this may be confusing to implementers.</li> <li>When clinically significant, the patient's "race" or "ethnicity" should be managed using an "Ask on Order Entry" question (AOE). This process is defined in the eDOS Implementation Guide developed through the ONC Standards &amp; Interoperability Framework, and is designed work in conjunction with the LOI Implementation Guide, also developed through the ONC S&amp;I Framework. For example, Glomerular Filtration Rate, Estimated (eGFR) results reference ranges vary based on race.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Race (5 codes): Race Category Excluding Nulls urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.2074.1.1.3</li> <li>Race (extended set, 900+codes): Race urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.14914</li> <li>Ethnicity: Ethnicity urn:oid:2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.837</li> <li>Ethnicity (extended set, 43 codes): Detailed Ethnicity urn:oid:2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.877</li> </ul>

## Research

### Interoperability Need: Representing Analytic Data for Research Purposes

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) Controlled Terminology Standards for Data Collection through Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH)</a> , Hosted by NCI-EVS	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) Controlled Terminology Standards for Data Aggregation through Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) (including QRS, Medical Device and Pharmacogenomics Data)</a> , Hosted by NCI-EVS	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) Controlled Terminology for Therapeutic Area Standards</a> Hosted by NCI-EVS	Final	Production	●○○○○	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) Controlled Terminology for Data Collection for Protocol</a> Hosted by NCI-EVS	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) Controlled Terminology for Analysis Dataset Model (ADaM)</a> Hosted by NCI-EVS	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Common Data Model (CDM)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">Sentinel Common Data Model</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Cancer Institute (NCI) Enterprise Vocabulary Service (EVS)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">National Cancer Institute (NCI) cancer Data Standards Repository (caDSR)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A



Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">National Cancer Institute (NCI) Metathesaurus</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® MedicationRequest Resource</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The adoption and federally required levels for using CDISC SDTM for QRS, Medical Devices and Pharmacogenomics purposes vary.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>			

## Sex at Birth, Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Gender Identity

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">HL7 Version 3 Null Flavor</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An <a href="#">article in JAMIA</a> provides helpful information for planning and implementing sexual orientation and gender identity data collection in electronic health records.</li> <li>Even though clinicians and their patients would benefit from having these data in patient records, this does not suggest that it is the sole responsibility of clinicians and their staffs to collect these sensitive data.</li> <li>When patients provide a response to this question in a patient portal, it could contradict with the information collected by providers.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Gender identity. LOINC® code: 76691-5</a></li> <li><a href="#">Male. SNOMED CT® code: 446151000124109</a></li> <li><a href="#">Female. SNOMED CT® code: 446141000124107</a></li> <li><a href="#">Female-to-Male (FTM)/Transgender Male/Trans Man. SNOMED CT® code: 407377005</a></li> <li><a href="#">Male-to-Female (MTF)/Transgender Female/Trans Woman. SNOMED CT® code: 407376001</a></li> <li><a href="#">Genderqueer, neither exclusively male nor female. SNOMED CT® code: 446131000124102</a></li> <li><a href="#">Additional gender category or other, please specify. HL7 Version 3 code: OTH</a></li> <li><a href="#">Choose not to disclose. HL7 Version 3 code: ASKU</a></li> </ul>			

## Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Sex (At Birth)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">For Male and Female, HL7 Version 3 Value Set; for Administrative Gender Unknown, HL7 Version 3 Null Flavor</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HL7 Version 2 and 3 need to be harmonized.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">LOINC® code: 76689-9 Sex assigned at birth</a></li> <li><a href="#">Administrative Gender (HL7 V3) 2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.1</a></li> <li>ONC's 2015 Edition certification requirements reference the following value set for birth sex that use a combination of HL7 Version 3 (V3) Standard value set for Administrative Gender and NullFlavor:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>M ("Male")</li> <li>F ("Female")</li> <li><a href="#">UNK ("Unknown") (HL7 V3 NullFlavor code)</a></li> </ol> </li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Patient-Identified Sexual Orientation

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">HL7 Version 3 Null Flavor</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An <a href="#">article in JAMIA</a> provides helpful information for planning and implementing sexual orientation and gender identity data collection in electronic health records.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOINC® code: 76690-7 Sexual orientation</li> <li>ONC's 2015 Edition certification requirements reference the following value set for sexual orientation. Codes from (i) through (iii) are SNOMED CT® and (iv) through (vi) are from HL7 Version 3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) <i>Lesbian, gay or homosexual.</i> 38628009</li> <li>(ii) <i>Straight or heterosexual.</i> 20430005</li> <li>(iii) <i>Bisexual.</i> 42035005</li> <li>(iv) <i>Something else, please describe.</i> nullFlavor OTH</li> <li>(v) <i>Don't know.</i> nullFlavor UNK</li> <li>(vi) <i>Choose not to disclose.</i> nullFlavor ASKU</li> </ul> </li> <li>SNOMED CT® code: Sexually attracted to neither male nor female sex 765288000 (Not required in ONC's 2015 Edition certification requirements)</li> </ul>

## Social, Psychological, and Behavioral Data

### Interoperability Need: Representing Alcohol Use

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test - Consumption [AUDIT-C]</a> consists of the first 3 questions of the World Health Organization's 10-question AUDIT alcohol screening that can help identify patients who are hazardous drinkers or have active alcohol use disorders (including alcohol abuse or dependence), is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">AUDIT-C panel (LOINC® code 72109-2)</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AUDIT-C member codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOINC® code 68518-0 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL2179-1)</li> <li>LOINC® code 68519-8 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL2180-9)</li> <li>LOINC® code 68520-6 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL2181-7)</li> <li>AUDIT-C total score (LOINC® code 75626-2)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">AUDIT panel (LOINC code 72110-0)</a></li> <li><a href="#">AUDIT panel total score (LOINC code 75624-7)</a></li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Depression

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">Patient Health Questionnaire 2 item (PHQ-2)</a> is a 2-question initial screen for symptoms of depression in the past 2 weeks. It consists of the first 2 questions of the PHQ-9, which can determine if an individual meet criteria for a depressive disorder, and is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">PHQ-2 panel LOINC® code 55757-9</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PHQ-2 member codes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PHQ-2 Q1 LOINC® 44250-9</li> <li>PHQ-2 Q2 LOINC® 44255-8</li> <li>PHQ-2 Total Score LOINC® 55758-7</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">PHQ-9 panel LOINC® code 44249-1</a></li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Drug Use

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">Drug Abuse Screen Test (DAST-10)</a> was designed to provide a brief, self-report instrument for population screening, clinical case finding and treatment evaluation research. It can be used with adults and older youth.</li> <li>(c)1982 Harvey Skinner, PhD, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Canada.</li> <li><a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a> is used to represent conditions, findings and observations related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drug Abuse Screening Test-10 [DAST-10] (<a href="#">LOINC code 82666-9</a>)</li> <li>DAST-10 Total Score <a href="#">LOINC code 82667-7</a></li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Exposure to Violence (Intimate Partner Violence)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">HARK (Humiliation, Afraid, Rape, Kick)</a> is a four-question screen for identifying women who have experienced intimate partner violence (IPV) in the past year and may help women disclose IPV in general practice. It is best suited for use with this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">HARK panel LOINC® code 76499-3</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HARK member codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOINC® code 76500-8 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL963-0)</li> <li>LOINC® code 76501-6 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL963-0)</li> <li>LOINC® code 76502-4 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL963-0)</li> <li>LOINC® code 76503-2 (with LOINC® answer list ID LL963-0)</li> </ul> </li> <li>HARK total score LOINC® code 76504-0</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Financial Resource Strain

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A single-item question used to determine the patient's overall financial resource strain developed from the <a href="#">Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study</a> is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Overall financial resource strain (CARDIA) LOINC® code 76513-1</a></li> <li>LOINC® answer list ID LL3266-5</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Food Insecurity

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ICD-10-CM</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CPT-4</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">HCPCS</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">The Hunger Vital Sign [HVS]</a> is a 2-question food insecurity screening tool based on the US Household Food Security Scale developed by Children's Health Watch. Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services uses the HVS in the <a href="#">Accountable Health Communities</a> screening tool.</li> <li><a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a> is used to represent conditions, observations, and non-medical interventions related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> <li><a href="#">ICD-10 Z55-Z65</a> is used to capture diagnoses related to certain Social Determinants of Health.</li> <li><a href="#">CPT-4</a> and <a href="#">HCPCS</a> is used to capture medical and non-medical procedures and interventions related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">LOINC® 88121-9</a> Hunger Vital Sign [HVS] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">LOINC® 88122-7</a> Within the past 12 months we worried whether our food would run out before we got money to buy more [U.S. FSS]</li> <li><a href="#">LOINC® 88123-5</a> Within the past 12 months the food we bought just didn't last and we didn't have money to get more [U.S. FSS]</li> <li><a href="#">LOINC® 88124-3</a> Food insecurity risk [HVS]</li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">LOINC® 93025-5</a> Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patients' Assets, Risks, and Experiences [PRAPARE] Panel</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Housing Insecurity

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ICD-10-CM</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CPT-4</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">HCPCS</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Housing situation screening question is part of the <a href="#">Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patients' Assets, Risks, and Experiences [PRAPARE]</a> screening instrument licensed by the National Association of Community Health Centers (NACHC).</li> <li><a href="#">LOINC®</a> is used to represent screening assessments related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> <li><a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a> is used to represent conditions, observations, and non-medical interventions related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> <li><a href="#">ICD-10 Z55-Z65</a> is used to capture diagnoses related to certain Social Determinants of Health.</li> <li><a href="#">CPT-4</a> and <a href="#">HCPCS</a> is used to capture medical and non-medical procedures and interventions related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What is your current housing situation? (<a href="#">LOINC® code 71802-3</a>) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Answer list (<a href="#">LOINC® code LL5350-5</a>) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I have housing</li> <li>I do not have housing (staying with others, in a hotel, in a shelter, living outside on the street, on a beach, in a car, or in a park)</li> <li>I choose not to answer that question</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patients' Assets, Risks, and Experiences [PRAPARE] Panel (<a href="#">LOINC® code 93025-5</a>)</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Level of Education

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A single question, "current educational attainment" used to determine the highest grade or level of school completed or highest degree received, developed as part of <a href="#">the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)</a> is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Current educational attainment (NHANES) LOINC® code 63504-5</a></li> <li>LOINC® answer list ID LL1069-5</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Physical Activity

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Two-question screen, "moderate to strenuous activity in last 7 days" adapted by SAMHSA from the Kaiser Permanente Exercise Vital Sign screen of physical activity is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How many days of moderate to strenuous exercise, like a brisk walk, did you do in the last 7 days? <a href="#">LOINC® code 68515-6</a></li> <li>On those days that you engaged in moderate to strenuous exercise, how many minutes, on average, did you exercise? <a href="#">LOINC® code 68516-4</a></li> <li>Responses use applicable UCUM unit of measure.</li> </ul>



### Interoperability Need: Representing Social Connection and Isolation

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Social connection and isolation panel is a set of five questions used to assess the number of types of social relationships on which a patient is connected and not isolated. It was developed for <a href="#">the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)</a>, and is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Social connection and isolation panel <a href="#">LOINC® code 76506-5</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Member codes:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LOINC® code 63503-7 (with LOINC answer list ID LL1068-7)</li> <li>LOINC® code 76508-1</li> <li>LOINC® code 76509-9</li> <li>LOINC® code 76510-7</li> <li>LOINC® code 76511-5 (with LOINC answer list ID LL963-0)</li> <li>Social isolation score LOINC® code 76512-3</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Stress

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A single-question stress measure primarily tested in Scandinavian populations is part of the Occupational Stress Questionnaire™ (Q41) developed by the <a href="#">Finnish Institute of Occupational Health</a> is best suited for this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Occupational Stress Questionnaire™ Q41 <a href="#">LOINC® code 76542-0</a></li> <li>LOINC® answer list LL3267-3</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Transportation Insecurity

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ICD-10-CM</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transportation insecurity screening question is part of the <a href="#">Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patients' Assets, Risks, and Experiences [PRAPARE]</a> screening instrument licensed by the National Association of Community Health Centers (NACHC).</li> <li><a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a> is used to represent conditions, observations, and non-medical interventions related to Social Determinants of Health.</li> <li><a href="#">ICD-10 Z55-Z65</a> is used to capture diagnoses related to certain Social Determinants of Health.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has lack of transportation kept you from medical appointments, meetings, work, or from getting things needed for daily living? [PRAPARE] (<a href="#">LOINC® code 93030-5</a>)</li> <li>Protocol for Responding to and Assessing Patients' Assets, Risks, and Experiences [PRAPARE] Panel (<a href="#">LOINC® code 93025-5</a>)</li> </ul>

## Tobacco Use (Smoking Status)

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Electronic Cigarette Use (Vaping)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The 2015 Edition smoking status criterion (§ 170.315(a)(11)) only applies to status of use for smoked tobacco. It does not require a terminology standard, nor does it require the ability to capture other forms of tobacco or nicotine use (e.g., smokeless tobacco, e-cigarettes, second hand smoke)</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electronic Cigarette/Electronic Nicotine Delivery System (object): SNOMED CT® 722498003</li> <li>Electronic Cigarette User: SNOMED CT® 722499006</li> <li>Electronic Cigarette liquid containing nicotine: SNOMED CT® 735240008</li> <li>Electronic Cigarette liquid without nicotine: SNOMED CT® 735239006</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Second Hand Tobacco Smoke Exposure

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The 2015 Edition smoking status criterion (§ 170.315(a)(11)) only applies to status of use for smoked tobacco. It does not require a terminology standard, nor does it require the ability to capture other forms of tobacco or nicotine use (e.g., smokeless tobacco, e-cigarettes, second hand smoke)</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exposure to Second Hand Tobacco Smoke: SNOMED CT® 16090371000119103</li> <li>Exposed to tobacco smoke at home (current): SNOMED CT® 228524006</li> <li>Exposed to tobacco smoke at work (current): SNOMED CT® 228523000</li> <li>No known exposure to Second Hand Tobacco Smoke: SNOMED CT® 711563001</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Tobacco Use (Smoking Status)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard for observations	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	N/A
Standard for observation values	<a href="#">SNOMED CT®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The 2015 Edition smoking status criterion (§ 170.315(a)(11)) only applies to status of use for smoked tobacco. It does not require a terminology standard.</li> <li>There are limitations in SNOMED CT® for this interoperability need, which include, but not limited to: not being able to capture severity of dependency, level of use, quit attempts, lifetime exposure, and use of e-Cigarettes.</li> <li>LOINC® includes codes that support recording smoking status in the CDC’s preferred (and sometimes required) responses (e.g., Tobacco smoking status NHIS[76691-5]) and other kinds of observations (e.g., Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life [PhenX] [63581-3] or How old were you when you first started smoking cigarettes every day [PhenX] [63609-2]).</li> <li>See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>For more information about observations and observation values, see Appendix III for an <a href="#">informational resource</a> developed by the Health IT Standards Committee.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">'Tobacco smoking status NHIS' LOINC 72166- 2</a></li> <li>Current Smoking Status urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.11.20.9.38</li> <li>The following smoking status value set of SNOMED CT® codes is only required in the context of using the Common Clinical Data Set (CCDS): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Current every day smoker. 449868002</li> <li>Current some day smoker. 428041000124106</li> <li>Former smoker. 8517006</li> <li>Never smoker. 266919005</li> <li>Smoker, current status unknown. 77176002</li> <li>Unknown if ever smoked. 266927001</li> <li>Heavy tobacco smoker. 428071000124103</li> <li>Light tobacco smoker. 428061000124105</li> </ul> </li> <li>Additional tobacco-related codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Date quit tobacco smoking: LOINC 74010-0</li> <li>Date quit smokeless tobacco: LOINC 88030-2</li> <li>User of smokeless tobacco (finding): SNOMED CT® 713914004</li> <li>Smokeless tobacco non-user (finding): SNOMED CT®451381000124107</li> <li>Former smokeless tobacco user (finding): SNOMED-CT® 456711000124105</li> <li>Chews tobacco (finding): SNOMED-CT® 81703003</li> <li>Snuff user (finding): SNOMED-CT® 228494002</li> <li>User of moist powdered tobacco (finding): SNOMED-CT® 228504007</li> <li>No known exposure to tobacco smoke (finding): SNOMED-CT® 711563001</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Units of Measure

### Interoperability Need: Representing Units of Measure (For Use with Numerical References and Values)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">The Unified Code for Units of Measure</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a> <a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>UCUM is a syntax for representing units of measure for use with numerical references and values. It is not an enumerated set of codes.</li> <li>The case sensitive version is the correct unit string to be used for interoperability purposes.</li> <li>Per public comments received, there may be some limitations with UCUM in the laboratory domain that remain unresolved.</li> <li>The abbreviations used for a few of the units of measure listed in the UCUM standard are currently on lists of <a href="#">prohibited abbreviations from the Institute for Safe Medication Practice (ISMP)</a>.</li> <li>Some abbreviations for units of measure include symbols which may be in conflict with other HL7 standards.</li> <li>Some abbreviations for units are nonstandard for human understanding. (For example, if a result for a White Blood Cell count is 9.6 x 10<sup>3</sup>/μL, the UCUM recommendation for rendering this value in a legacy character application is 9.6 x 10*3/uL. Because the "*" is a symbol for multiplication in some systems.) This recommendation may result in errors either by the information system or the human reading the result.</li> <li>Some other abbreviations used in UCUM are not industry standard for the tests that use these units of measure.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Units Of Measure Case Sensitive 2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.12839 (most frequently used codes)</li> <li>"Table of Example UCUM Codes for Electronic Messaging" published by the Regenstrief Institute, Inc. Value set is made available at <a href="http://loinc.org/usage/units">http://loinc.org/usage/units</a> and identified by the OID 1.3.6.1.4.1.12009.10.3.1</li> </ul>

## Vital Signs

### Interoperability Need: Representing Patient Vital Signs

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">LOINC®</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">ISO/IEEE 11073 Health informatics - Medical / health device communication standards</a>	Final	Pilot	● ● ● ○ ○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See Section I – Units of Measure for discussion of units of measure used with quantitative observations.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">LOINC collaboration with IEEE</a> for information on the Medical Device Code Mapping Table, which provides linkages between LOINC terms and IEEE EMB/11073 standard.</li> <li>• ISO/IEEE 11073 is a family of standards for point-of-care medical device communication, with specific standards within the 11073 family that support collection of vital signs from medical devices, including:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ IEEE P11073-10404: Device Specialization - Pulse Oximeter</li> <li>▪ IEEE 11073-10406: Device Specialization - Basic electrocardiograph (ECG)</li> <li>▪ IEEE P11073-10407: Device Specialization - Blood Pressure Monitor</li> <li>▪ IEEE 11073-10408: Device Specialization - Thermometer</li> <li>▪ IEEE P11073-10415: Device Specialization - Weighing Scale</li> <li>▪ IEEE 11073-10417: Device Specialization - Glucose Meter</li> </ul> </li> <li>• See <a href="#">LOINC® projects</a> and <a href="#">Continua CODE for Healthcare</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Vital Sign Result urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.62</li> </ul>

## Section II: Content/Structure Standards and Implementation Specifications

### Admission, Discharge, and Transfer

#### Interoperability Need: Sending a Notification of a Long Term Care Patient’s Admission, Discharge and/or Transfer Status to the Servicing Pharmacy

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	\$	No
Standard	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	Yes

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The “Census Message” transaction allows for long-term and post-acute care settings to notify the servicing pharmacy of a patient’s admission, discharge and/or transfer status.</li> <li>See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Sending a Notification of a Patient’s Admission, Discharge and/or Transfer Status to Other Providers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7 2.5.1</a> (or later) ADT message	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	No
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">IHE Patient Administration Management (PAM) Integration Profile</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Alerts Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A variety of transport protocols are available for use for ADT message delivery. Trading partners will need to determine which transport tools best meet their interoperability needs, however, Direct (referenced further in Section III: Push Exchange), has been noted as a prominent option for transport, particularly where HIE networks are not in place or not being used for this purpose.</li> <li>See <a href="#">HL7 V2 projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> – Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>



## Care Coordination for Referrals

### Interoperability Need: Referral from Acute Care to a Skilled Nursing Facility

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">360X and Long Term Care Transfers</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>			

### Interoperability Need: Referral to a Specialist – Request, Status Updates, Outcomes

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ● ●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework Supplement: 360 Exchange - Closed Loop Referral (360X) Rev. 1.1 – Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>			

**Interoperability Need: Referral to Extra-Clinical Services – Request, Status Updates, Outcomes**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4: Observation Resource</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	No
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4: Messaging</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4: ServiceRequest Resource</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4: Task Resource</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® Bidirectional Services eReferrals (BSeR) FHIR® IG</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>			<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> </ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>				

## Care Plan

### Interoperability Need: Documenting and Sharing Care Plans for a Single Clinical Context

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® US Core R.3.0 – Care Plan Profile</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Argonaut Data Query Implementation Guide v1.0.0 (based on FHIR® R2)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® C-CDA on FHIR® Care Plan</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	● ○ ○ ○ ○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The care plan as expressed in the C-CDA standard does not attempt to represent the longitudinal care plan; rather it represents a “snapshot” of a care plan at a single point in time for transmission to other providers and teams to ensure continuity of care.</li> <li>The Care Plan Domain Analysis Model is used as a reference model for C-CDA care plan documents in the context of the longitudinal care plan.</li> <li>FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> and <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Documenting and Sharing Medication-Related Care Plans by Pharmacists

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">NCPDP Pharmacist eCare Plan Version 1.0 Guidance on the Use of the HL7 CDA Consolidated Templates for Clinical Notes R2.1 Care Plan</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	No	\$	Yes <sup>s</sup>
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Pharmacist Care Plan Document, Release 1 - US Realm, Volume 1</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○○	No	\$	Yes
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●●○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Pharmacist Care Plan Implementation Guide, US Realm</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® C-CDA on FHIR® Care Plan</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Pharmacist eCarePlan implementation specifications listed for this interoperability need are a result of a joint effort between HL7 and NCPDP to create a standardized, interoperable document for exchange of consensus-driven prioritized medication-related activities, plans and goals for an individual needing care Pharmacists work in multiple environments. This project was partially funded by ONC's <a href="#">High Impact Pilots Cooperative Agreement Program</a>. The <a href="#">Community Pharmacy Enhanced Services Network</a> maintains a listing of vendor participants from this program.</li> <li>More than 100 value sets are currently captured in <a href="#">VSAC</a> in support of this interoperability need. Search for "PharmacyHIT" to view them.</li> <li>See <a href="#">this project</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Documenting Care Plans for Person Centered Services**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Electronic Long-Term Services and Supports (eLTSS) Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The electronic Long-Term Services and Supports (eLTSS) Implementation Guide (IG) is based on FHIR R4. The standards were developed to enable the creation, exchange and re-use of interoperable person centered service plans for use by health care, home and community based service providers, payers and the individuals they serve. These plans can help to improve the coordination of health and social services that support an individual’s mental and physical health.</li> <li>The eLTSS data referenced in this implementation guide refers to the eLTSS Dataset that was developed by the eLTSS Initiative, a joint project between the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). See <a href="#">eLTSS Initiative website</a> for more information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Domain or Disease-Specific Care Plan Standards

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Personal Advance Care Plan Document, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI), Rev 2.1 Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ● ● ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Feedback Requested	● ● ● ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® C-CDA on FHIR® Care Plan</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The HL7 CDA R2 IG is based on C-CDA R2.1 and aligns with the Care Plan document specifications.</li> <li>The IHE Profile is based on HL7 V2.6 IG: Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) Messaging, Release 1.</li> <li>The Personal Advance Care Plan Document is for the domain of patient-authored goals, priorities and preferences, including but not limited to Advance Directives.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> and <a href="#">IHE</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Sharing Patient Care Plans for Multiple Clinical Contexts

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Dynamic Care Planning (DCP), Rev 1.2 Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Dynamic Care Team Management (DCTM), Rev 1.1 Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® C-CDA on FHIR® Care Plan</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">IHE</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Clinical Decision Support

### Interoperability Need: Communicate Appropriate Use Criteria with the Order and Charge to the Filling Provider and Billing System for Inclusion on Claims

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE: Clinical Decision Support Order Appropriateness Tracking (CDS-OAT)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Provide Access to Appropriate Use Criteria

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® CDS Hooks Services</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Clinical Reasoning Module, FHIR STU Release 3</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The CDS Hooks specification describes the RESTful APIs and interactions between EHRs and CDS Services.</li> <li>Note that there is an active stakeholder initiative (Argonaut project) to support Protecting Access to Medicare Act (PAMA) requirements related to Guideline Appropriate Ordering using CDS Hooks.</li> <li>Note that the maturity level of FHIR resources may vary. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described within the specification itself.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>



## Interoperability Need: Shareable Clinical Decision Support

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Standard: Clinical Quality Language Specification, Release 1, STU4 (CQL 1.4)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Profile: Quality (QI Core), STU Release 3</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Standard: Decision Support Service, Release 2</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide: Clinical Decision Support Knowledge Artifact Implementation Guide, Release 1.3, Draft Standard for Trial Use.</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Implementation Guide: Clinical Reasoning Module, FHIR STU Release 4</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○○	No	Free	Yes

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Note that the maturity level of FHIR resources may vary. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>Note that the HL7 Version 3 Standard: Decision Support Service and related implementation specifications are intended to be retired once equivalent functionality is available in the CDS Hooks specification.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Clinical Quality Measurement and Reporting

### Interoperability Need: Reporting Aggregate Quality Data to Quality Reporting Initiatives

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●●	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category III (QRDA III), DSTU Release 1</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category III (QRDA III), DSTU Release 2.1</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	Yes
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4 Clinical Reasoning Module</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	●○○○○○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> and <a href="#">QRDA</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>Implementation Maturity:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>STU Release 1: Used for 2017-2018 reporting</li> <li>STU Release 2.1: Being used for reporting 2018, 2019 data.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Reporting Patient-level Quality Data to Quality Reporting Initiatives

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category I (QRDA I) DSTU Release 3.1 (US Realm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category I (QRDA I) STU Release 4 (US Realm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category I (QRDA I) - Release 5.1 (US Realm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category I (QRDA I) STU Release 5.2 (US Realm)</a>	In Development	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Data Exchange For Quality Measures (DEQM) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>			<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> and <a href="#">QRDA</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>				

## Interoperability Need: Sharing Quality Measure Artifacts for Quality Reporting Initiatives

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7 V3: Representation of the Health Quality Measures Format (eMeasure), DSTU Release 2.1</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">HL7 Cross-Paradigm Specification: Clinical Quality Language (CQL), Release 1, STU 1.3</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® CQL-based HQMF Implementation Guide STU 4 based on HQMF R1</a>	In Development	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Profile: Quality (QI Core), STU 3.2</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	Yes
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® Cross-Paradigm Specification: CQL Release 1 STU 4</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Production</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® CQL-based HQMF, Release 2 DSTU 3 (based on HQMF 2.1 - US Realm)</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Production</i>	●●○○○○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Quality Measure IG STU 1</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® profile: Quality (QI Core) STU 4.0</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	●○○○○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Clinical Reasoning STU Release 3</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	●○○○○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Clinical Reasoning STU Release 4</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• QI Core Profiles are used to express the data involved in a sharable measure and depend on US Core profiles.</li> <li>• Note that the maturity level of FHIR resources may vary. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">FHIR projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Data Provenance

### Interoperability Need: Establishing the Authenticity, Reliability, and Trustworthiness of Content Between Trading Partners

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® Release 2 Implementation Guide Data Provenance, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes - Open</a>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Provenance Resource</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The first implementation specification listed is focused on data provenance representation for CDA R2 implementations and the use of CDA templates.</li> <li>Note that the maturity level of FHIR resources may vary. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>The FHIR implementation specification listed leverages the W3C Provenance specification to represent HL7® support of provenance throughout its standards. It is explicitly modeled as functional capabilities in ISO/HL7 10781 EHR System Functional Model Release 2 and ISO 21089 Trusted End-to-End Information Flows. <a href="#">Mappings are available</a> within the resource.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> &amp; <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Diet and Nutrition

### Interoperability Need: Exchanging Diet and Nutrition Orders Across the Continuum of Care

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Standard: Diet and Nutrition, STU Release 1</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: C-CDA R2.1 Supplemental Templates for Nutrition, Release 1 (US Realm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Nutrition Order Resource</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>Additionally, work is underway on the HL7 FHIR Nutrition Intake Resource</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved</li> <li><b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Drug Formulary & Benefits

### Interoperability Need: Allows Pharmacy Benefit Payers to Communicate Formulary and Benefit Information to Prescriber Systems

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Formulary and Benefits v3.0</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Real Time Prescription Benefit Standard</a>	In Development	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Provider Data Exchange (PDex: Formulary) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NCPDP Formulary and Benefits v3.0 does not provide real-time patient-level benefit information.</li> <li>The NCPDP Real Time Prescription Benefit Standard is currently in beta testing, and is intended for pilot use.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Electronic Prescribing

### Interoperability Need: Allows a Long Term or Post-Acute Care to Request to Send an Additional Supply of Medication

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up for e-mail updates to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The NCPDP SCRIPT Standard version 2017071 Implementation Guide supports the Resupply transaction; a request from a Long Term or Post-Acute Care (LTPAC) organization to a pharmacy to send an additional supply of medication for an existing order. An example use case is when a medication supply for a resident is running low (2-3 doses) and a new supply is needed from the pharmacy, the LTPAC organization needs a way to notify the pharmacy that an additional supply for the medication is needed.</li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>



## Interoperability Need: Allows a Pharmacy to Notify a Prescriber of Prescription Fill Status

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SCRIPT 10.6 &amp; SCRIPT 2017071 -                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ RxFill: sent from a pharmacy to a prescriber or long term or post-acute care (LTPAC) facility indicating the FillStatus (dispensed, partially dispensed, not dispensed or returned to stock, transferred to another pharmacy) of the new, refill or resupply prescriptions for a patent</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ SCRIPT 2017071 -                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ RxFillIndicator: Informs the pharmacy of the prescriber’s intent for fill status notifications for a specific patient/medication</li> <li>▪ RxFillIndicatorChange: Sent by the prescriber to the pharmacy to indicate that the prescriber is changing the types of RxFill transactions that were previously requested, where the prescriber may modify the fill status of transactions previously selected or cancel future RxFill transactions</li> <li>▪ When transferring a prescription, the RxFillRequestIndicator should be passed to the new pharmacy as part of the prescription information. If it supports the RxFill transaction, the pharmacy to which the prescription was transferred is responsible to send the appropriate Physician RxFill Request Flag with each subsequent dispensing event.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• The prescriber must electronically send the prescription via the NCPDP SCRIPT standard in order for the prescriber’s system to receive RxFill transactions, and ensures the correct matching between the original prescription and the subsequent RxFill transactions.</li> <li>• Adoption of RxFill may be improved by allowing prescribers to specify which prescriptions are to receive RxFill transactions and which RxFill message types to receive. Additionally, prescribers may choose to receive RxFill transactions for patients receiving certain medications. EMRs may also provide additional capabilities to support RxFill message handling and prescriber preferred notifications that may provide process improvements such as limiting the number of transactions received, the cost of transactions, privacy concerns and information overload.</li> <li>• Both the pharmacy and the prescriber must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Allows a Pharmacy to Request a Change to a Prescription

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SCRIPT 10.6 - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ RxChg, originated from the pharmacy to request a change in the original prescription.</li> <li>▪ Chgres, originated from the prescriber in response to the RxChg message.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ SCRIPT 2017071 - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ RxChangeRequest, originated from the pharmacy to request: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a change in the original prescription (new or fillable)</li> <li>• validation of prescriber credentials</li> <li>• a prescriber to review the drug requested</li> <li>• obtaining a prior authorization from the payer for the prescription</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ FollowUpRequest, originated from the pharmacy to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• notify prescribers that this is a follow-up RxRenewalRequest or RxChangeRequest transaction, when the prescriber has not responded to the first RxRenewalRequest or first RxChangeRequest transaction in a reasonable amount of time.</li> <li>• Not sent on the original request of the RxRenewalRequest or RxChangeRequest transaction</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ RxChangeResponse, originated from the prescriber to respond: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to a prescription change request from a pharmacy</li> <li>• to a request for a prior authorization from a pharmacy</li> <li>• to a prescriber credential validation request from a pharmacy</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ Options allowed when generating an RxChangeResponse in response to an RxChangeRequest from a pharmacy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approved: Grant the RxChangeRequest when the prescriber concurs with the request. The prescriber must submit an RxChangeResponse equal to what the pharmacy requested.</li> <li>• ApprovedWithChanges: When the information submitted in the RxChangeRequest does not include all elements constituting a fillable prescription; the prescriber should include all information.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Denied: Denies the RxChangeRequest with information that explains the denial.</li> <li>• Validated: Sent by the prescriber system in response to an RxChangeRequest for prescriber authorization.</li> <li>• The receiving pharmacy should handle Approved, ApprovedWithChanges, and Validated responses as a fillable NewRx where the original linked prescription/order is discontinued. A Denied response should be directed to a review queue where the Denial reason code is displayed.</li> <li>• Both the pharmacy and the prescriber must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	
---	--

### Interoperability Need: Allows a Pharmacy to Request a New Prescription For a New Course of Therapy or to Continue Therapy

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<b>Emerging Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Medication Request</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="#">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SCRIPT 2017071 - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ NewRxRequest: This transaction is a request from a pharmacy to a prescriber for a new prescription for a patient <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NewRxResponseDenied: This transaction is a denied response to a previously sent NewRxRequest (If approved, a NewRx would be sent) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A NewRxResponseDenied response may occur when the NewRxRequest cannot be processed or if information is unavailable</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Allows a Pharmacy to Request Additional Refills

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SCRIPT 10.6 – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Refreq, originated from the pharmacy to the prescriber requesting additional refills.</li> <li>▪ Refres, originated from the prescriber to the pharmacy with a Rx authorization for refills; the response to a Refreq message.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ SCRIPT 2017071 - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ RxRenewalRequest, originated from the pharmacy to request additional refills beyond those originally prescribed <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FollowUpRequest, originated from the pharmacy to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ notify prescribers that this is a follow-up RxRenewalRequest or RxChangeRequest transaction, when the prescriber has not responded to the first RxRenewalRequest or first RxChangeRequest transaction in a reasonable amount of time.</li> <li>○ not sent on the original request of the RxRenewalRequest or RxChangeRequest transaction</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ RxRenewalResponse, originated from the prescriber to respond to the request <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Options allowed when generating an RxRenewalResponse to an RxRenewalRequest from a pharmacy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Approved: Grant the RxRenewalRequest as requested by the pharmacy, or, when the pharmacy does not request a specific number of fills (PharmacyRequestedRefills is not present) and the prescriber approves any number of fills</li> <li>○ ApprovedWithChanges: Grant the RxRenewalRequest, approving a NumberOfRefills different than the number requested by the pharmacy or when the information submitted in the RxRenewalRequest does not include all elements constituting a fillable prescription; the prescriber should include all information</li> <li>○ Denied: Deny the RxRenewalRequest as requested by the pharmacy</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ In a Denied response, the only new meaning that should be conveyed to the pharmacy is information that explains the denial. It is recommended that the prescribing software update the NumberOfRefills to zero and leave all other data as is in the RxRenewalResponse<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Replace: Data is allowed to be changed except the patient DateOfBirth. If patient DateOfBirth changes, a Denied response would be sent, and then a NewRx would follow<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The receiving pharmacy might handle each of these responses differently. Approved, ApprovedWithChanges, and Replace responses might be directed to a fulfillment queue, where a Denied response might be directed to a review queue</li><li>• The Replace response should be used if there are any changes beyond what is outlined in the Response Element</li></ul></li></ul></li><li>▪ RxRenewalRequest should never be responded to with a NewRx, as this would result in duplicate valid prescriptions</li><li>▪ DeniedNewPrescriptionToFollow response is not to be sent in an RxRenewalResponse for this version of SCRIPT. However, the DeniedNewPrescriptionToFollow response could be received in an RxRenewalResponse from a previous version of SCRIPT and is included for backwards compatibility. DeniedNewPrescriptionToFollow response only exists for entities that need to map this version to a previous version of SCRIPT that does not support a Replace.</li></ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Both the pharmacy and the prescriber must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li><li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li></ul>	
---	--

## Interoperability Need: Allows a Pharmacy to Request, Respond to, or Confirm a Prescription Transfer

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2013101</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ RxTransferRequest: Used when the pharmacy is asking for a transfer of one or more prescriptions for a specific patient to the requesting pharmacy                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The transfer is for a fillable prescription which may be:                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• yet to be filled</li> <li>• on hold</li> <li>• open (active) fills</li> <li>• current therapy (defined as drug therapy that, based upon the most recent fill date, quantity and instructions, should still be active)</li> <li>• allowed to be transferred by law/regulation</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ If multiple specific prescriptions are to be transferred, but not all prescriptions, a separate RxTransferRequest must be sent for each specific prescription</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ RxTransferResponse: The response from the transferring pharmacy to the requesting pharmacy to the RxTransferRequest which includes the prescription(s) being transferred or a rejection of the transfer request</li> <li>○ RxTransferConfirm: Used by the pharmacy receiving (originally requesting) the transfer to confirm that the transfer prescription has been received and the transfer is complete</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The RxFill Transaction &lt;FillStatus&gt;&lt;Transferred&gt; is originated by the transferring pharmacy once the &lt;RxTransferConfirm&gt; is received from the transfer to pharmacy. This transaction is used to notify the prescriber when a prescription has been transferred to another pharmacy and can no longer be filled at the original pharmacy. The RxTransfer transaction will identify if the receiving pharmacy supports RxFill.</li> <li>• Both the pharmacy and the prescriber must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber or a Pharmacy to Request a Patient's Medication History

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ RxHistoryRequest: a request from a prescriber or a pharmacy for a list of medications that have been prescribed, dispensed, claimed or indicated (OTCs) by a patient                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This patient-specific transaction supplies enough information to uniquely identify the patient</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ RxHistoryResponse: a response to an RxHistoryRequest containing a patient's medication history; includes the medications that were dispensed or obtained within a certain timeframe, optionally including the prescriber that prescribed it                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The receiver must evaluate the Consent for accurate reporting</li> <li>▪ Returns with loops of Medication, HistorySource, Prescriber, Pharmacy, and Patient elements when appropriate</li> <li>▪ HistorySource and FillNumber elements are included, when appropriate, so prescribers are able to de-duplicate records from multiple sources that reflect the same medication dispensing, and to help determine patient compliance with a prescription                       <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Helps the prescriber determine if follow-up contact is required regarding the medication records</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• RxHistoryRequest and RxHistoryResponse may be sent directly or through an intermediary.</li> <li>• Medication history transactions may be exchanged among prescribers, pharmacies, or payers, and may include adjudicated claims and/or pharmacy dispensed/point of sale prescription information.</li> <li>• It is recommended that prescribers request Medication History from all applicable sources, whenever appropriate, to ensure the most complete view of a patient's medication history. The Medication History may be reconciled with the prescriber's patient record for improved medication management and to assist in clinical decision support.</li> <li>• Both the sender and receiver must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions. This may also include hospitals and/or Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs).</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>



## Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Cancel a Prescription

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SCRIPT 10.6 - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ CanRx: a request from a prescriber to a pharmacy to not fill a previously sent prescription.</li> <li>▪ CanRes: a response from a pharmacy to a prescriber to acknowledge a cancel request; the response to a CanRx.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ SCRIPT 2017071 - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ CancelRx: a request from the prescriber to the pharmacy to not fill a previously sent prescription <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• must contain pertinent information for the pharmacy to be able to find the prescription in their system (patient, medication (name, strength, dosage form), prescriber, prescription number if available)</li> <li>• changes can be indicated in the MessageRequestCode in the CancelRx transaction</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ CancelRxResponse: a response from the pharmacy to the prescriber to acknowledge a CancelRx <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• used to denote if the cancellation is Approved or Denied</li> <li>• DenialReasonCode should be sent when a CancelRx is denied</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ When a Long Term care (LTC) prescriber has the need to modify an order and notify the pharmacy, the prescriber system will always send a CancelRx and a NewRx, regardless of the type of change</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>



**Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Communicate Drug Administration Events**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The NCPDP SCRIPT Version 2017071 Implementation Guide supports the DrugAdministration transaction communicates drug administration events from a prescriber/care facility to the pharmacy or other entity. It is a notification from a prescriber/care facility to a pharmacy or other entity that a drug administration event has occurred - for example, a medication was suspended or administration was resumed.</li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Communicate with a REMS Administrator

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The NCPDP SCRIPT Version 2017071 Implementation Guide supports:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ REMSInitiationRequest and REMSInitiationResponse</li> <li>○ REMSRequest and REMSResponse</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Each transaction supports a particular step in the REMS process:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The REMSInitiationRequest transaction is used by the prescriber to initiate the REMS process, by notifying the REMS Administrator of the patient and the medication for which REMS authorization is being requested, along with the prescriber’s information and other related details.</li> <li>○ In the REMSInitiationResponse transaction, the REMS Administrator indicates the information needed from the prescriber to determine approval or denial of the authorization. In some cases, the REMS Administrator indicates to the prescriber that REMS authorization is not required for the requested medication and patient. The REMSInitiationResponse is for the medication (name, strength, dosage form) indicated in the REMSInitiationRequest. The REMS Administrator should not respond for an equivalent to the medication (e.g., generic product equivalent to brand product) indicated in the REMSInitiationRequest.</li> <li>○ The prescriber system gathers the requested information by presenting questions for the prescriber to answer and/or by extracting information from the patient’s electronic medical record using the coded references associated to the question. The information is sent to the REMS Administrator in the REMSRequest transaction. This occurs in both the solicited and unsolicited models.</li> <li>○ The REMS Administrator determines whether authorization can be granted and provides the determination to the prescriber in the REMSResponse transaction. In some cases the REMSResponse transaction may indicate the REMS Administrator needs additional information in order to make a determination.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act (FDAAA) of 2007 (Public Law 110-85) enables the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to require a REMS from a pharmaceutical manufacturer if the FDA determines that a REMS is necessary to ensure the benefits of a drug outweigh the risks associated with the drug. The currently approved REMS programs vary in levels of complexity. Typically a Med Guide and Communication Plan is required, but some also require Elements to Assure Safe Use (ETASU). The large majority of existing REMS programs are for drugs dispensed through specialty pharmacies, clinics, and hospitals, but as REMS become more common they may ultimately have a greater impact on retail-based products.</li> <li>• The impact of REMS is twofold. First, REMS with ETASU may require the pharmacist to verify prescriber, patient, and/or pharmacy enrollment in a registry and, in some cases, verify or check certain information, such as laboratory results. Second, all REMS, including those without ETASU, must fulfill</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

<p>FDA-approved reporting requirements. Each REMS program must also include a program assessment schedule that examines the program’s effectiveness on intervals approved by the FDA as part of the overall REMS program. The results of these assessments are submitted to the FDA as part of the ongoing evaluation of REMS program effectiveness.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Both the prescriber and the REMS Administrator must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li><li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li></ul>	
---	--

## Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Prescribe Medication Using Weight-Based Dosing

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Structured and Codified Sig Format Implementation Guide Version 2.1</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• Included in the Structured and Codified Sig Format of electronic prescribing transactions are elements, fields and values that are directly related to the prescriber's instructions for use.</li> <li>• The following elements of the Sig are required when Structured Sig is sent: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Code system</li> <li>○ Dose</li> <li>○ Route Of Administration</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The following elements of the Sig are conditional (only required when prescriber specifies) when Structured Sig is sent: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Vehicle</li> <li>○ Site of Administration</li> <li>○ Timing</li> <li>○ Duration</li> <li>○ Maximum Dose Restriction</li> <li>○ Indication</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The following elements of the Sig are required when Structured Sig is sent <i>and when dose is to be calculated</i>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dose Calculation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Used where a body metric such as metric weight (kg) or surface area (m*2) is used to calculate a dose for a patient.</li> <li>▪ May often be used in conjunction with the Rate within TimingAndDuration and/or the Vehicle.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• The SCRIPT 2017071 Observation element in the NewRx transaction supports the use of a patient's height, weight and other vital signs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Inclusion of VitalSign (most recent patient's height and weight) and ObservationDate (YYYY-MM-DD height and weight observed/taken) is required for patients 18 years old and younger on all new and renewal prescriptions from a prescriber to a pharmacy. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ If the height and/or weight have changed and a prescriber is sending an approved renewal response, the response should be coded as Approved with Changes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ ObservationDate is now mandatory when Observation Segment Measurement is sent.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LOINC 2.63 codes supporting SCRIPT 2017071 &lt;Observation&gt; segment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <a href="#">8302-2 Body height, measured [LOINC]</a></li> <li>○ <a href="#">3141-9 Body weight, measured [LOINC]</a></li> <li>○ <a href="#">3140-1 Body surface area, derived [LOINC]</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ ObservationNotes may contain other pertinent information pertaining to weight-based calculations.</li> <li>● Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>● See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	
--	--

**Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Recertify the Continued Administration of a Medication Order**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Please refer to <a href="#">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>● The NCPDP SCRIPT Version 2017071 Implementation Guide supports the Recertification transaction; a notification from a facility, on behalf of a prescriber, to a pharmacy recertifying the continued administration of a medication order. An example use is when an existing medication order has been recertified by the prescriber for continued use. Long term or post-acute care use only.</li> <li>● Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>● See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>● <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>● <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>● <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>● <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>● <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>● <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Request, Cancel or Appeal Prior Authorization for Medications**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">NCPDP Formulary and Benefits, Version 3</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Standard	<a href="#">ASC X12</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2013101</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ○ ○ ○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The prescriber system must receive timely Formulary &amp; Benefit file updates from payers/intermediaries, giving group-level formulary and coverage information (including PA flags) for use when ordering medications.</li> <li>The following ASC X12 patient eligibility transactions enhance the PA Request transactions by supplying the prescriber system with the patient’s pharmacy benefit information, and need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eligibility Request (ASC X12 270)</li> <li>Eligibility Response (ASC X12 271)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The following SCRIPT 2017071 PA transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PAInitiationRequest and PAINitiationResponse</li> <li>PARequest and PAResponse</li> <li>PAAppealRequest and PAAppealResponse</li> <li>PACancelRequest and PACancelResponse</li> </ul> </li> <li>Both the prescriber and the payer/processor/pharmacy benefits manager (PBM) must have their systems configured for these transactions in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive status or error messages.</li> <li>See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Send a New Prescription to a Pharmacy**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Medication Request</a>	In Development	Pilot	Feedback requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="https://www.cms.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SCRIPT 10.6 &amp; SCRIPT 2017071 -                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ NewRx: This transaction is a new prescription sent from the prescriber to the pharmacy electronically so that it can be dispensed to a patient</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ SCRIPT 2017071 -                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ NewRxRequest: This transaction is a request from a pharmacy to a prescriber for a new prescription for a patient                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NewRxResponseDenied: This transaction is a denied response to a previously sent NewRxRequest (If approved, a NewRx would be sent)                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A NewRxResponseDenied response may occur when the NewRxRequest cannot be processed or if information is unavailable</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul> </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Send a Prescription to a Pharmacy for a Controlled Substance**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	Yes	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">21 CFR §1311</a> implements US Drug Enforcement Administration's Electronic Prescription for Controlled Substance regulation.</li> <li>• DEA's EPCS requires additional information satisfied by the following SCRIPT 10.6 elements:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Digital Signature Indicator - Use Drug Coverage Status Code - "SI - Signed Prescription"</li> <li>○ Controlled Substance Indicator - Use DEA Schedule field is indicate the controlled substance schedule class</li> <li>○ Earliest Fill Date - Use Date/Time/Period Qualifier- value= "07 - Effective Date (Begin)"</li> <li>○ Drug Abuse Treatment Identifier - Use DRU Segment 090 Free Text - value= "NADEAN:xxxxxxxx" (Narcotics Addiction DEA Number)"</li> <li>○ Medication Indication for GHB (Gamma-Hydroxybutyric acid) - Use DRU Segment 090 Free Text - value="medical need for GHB"</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The <a href="#">SUPPORT for Patients and Communities Act</a>, once implemented, will require a prescription for a Medicare part D drug be transmitted electronically using NCPDP SCRIPT 10.6, or the latest implemented version.</li> <li>• Please note that the NCPDP electronic prescribing test tool currently tests the capabilities of any health IT to conform to the ONC Health IT Certification Program criterion 170.315 (b)(3), but does not test system capabilities to conform to DEA EPCS certification requirements.</li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<p>The DEA's EPCS regulation, <a href="#">21 CFR §1311</a>, requires additional security considerations that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An individual practitioner must obtain an authentication credential from a credential service provider or certification authority using two of the following three factors:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Something only the practitioner knows, such as a password or response to a challenge question.</li> <li>○ Something the practitioner is, biometric data such as a fingerprint or iris scan</li> <li>○ Something the practitioner has, a device (hard token) separate from the computer to which the practitioner is gaining access.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The practitioner must submit identity proofing information to the credential service provider or certification authority</li> <li>• The electronic prescription application must be capable of the setting of logical access controls to limit permissions for certain functions</li> </ul>



## Interoperability Need: Allows a Prescriber to Request a Patient’s Medication History from a State Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 201301</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2 Standard</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Standard	<a href="#">PMIX, Version 2</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">CDS Hooks Services</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Implementation Guide: US Meds STU2</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">SMART on FHIR®</a>	In Development	Pilot	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="https://www.cms.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The following transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ RxHistoryRequest: a request from a prescriber for a list of medications that have been prescribed, dispensed, claimed or indicated (OTCs) by a patient to a state Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This patient-specific transaction supplies enough information to uniquely identify the patient</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ RxHistoryResponse: a response from a PDMP to an RxHistoryRequest containing a patient’s medication history; includes the medications that were dispensed or obtained within a certain timeframe, optionally including the prescriber that prescribed it <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ PDMP must evaluate the Consent for accurate reporting</li> <li>▪ Returns with loops of Medication, HistorySource (pharmacy), Prescriber, Pharmacy, and Patient elements</li> <li>▪ HistorySource and FillNumber elements are included, when appropriate, so prescribers are able to de-duplicate records from multiple sources that reflect the same medication dispensing, and to help determine patient compliance with a prescription</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Helps the prescriber determine if follow-up contact is required regarding the medication records</li> <li>• RxHistoryRequest and RxHistoryResponse may be sent directly or through an intermediary</li> <li>• The medication history response transaction in SCRIPT Version 2017071 has been enhanced to return data from Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) administrators.</li> <li>• Please note that the NCPDP electronic prescribing test tool does not currently test the capabilities of any health IT to exchange data with a state PDMP.</li> <li>• RxHistoryRequest and RxHistoryResponse may be sent directly or through an intermediary.</li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the Prescription Monitoring Drug Program (PDMP) must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• The HL7 FHIR Implementation Guide: US Meds STU2 includes US Meds Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) mapping.</li> <li>• SMART on FHIR defines a mechanism for interoperable “SMART Apps” that can be plugged in to EHRs and other Health IT systems. Each SMART App can expose a user interaction, and can access data in the underlying system. This presents a powerful way to extend EHR capabilities via “pluggable” app functionality. Dozens of SMART apps are available, including apps for medication management, pain management, and PDMP-EHR integration, with more expected in the future. These apps serve many different clinical needs, yet they all use the same underlying FHIR-based API functionality.</li> <li>• When using the SMART on FHIR model, the authentication model uses OAuth2. Except for "Secure Communication", the security patterns listed do not apply.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Interoperability Need: Allows for Communication of Prescription Information Between Prescribers and Dispensers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please refer to <a href="http://CMS.gov">CMS.gov</a> for more information regarding Medicare Part D electronic prescribing requirements and sign up to receive the latest announcements.</li> <li>• The NCPDP SCRIPT Version 2017071 Implementation Guide supports the following transactions:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Ask the Mailbox if there are any transactions (GetMessage)                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This transaction is used by the prescriber or pharmacy asking the mailbox if there are any transactions. It is at the heart of the mechanism used by a pharmacy or prescriber system to receive transactions from each other or from a payer or the REMS Administrator via a Switch, acting as a Mailbox. Please note that the adoption level of the GetMessage transaction is not reflected above. GetMessage transaction adoption is currently lower than that of the other communication transactions below (Status, Error, and Verify).</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Relay acceptance of a transaction back to the sender (Status)                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This transaction is used to relay acceptance of a transaction back to the sender. A Status in response to any applicable transaction other than GetMessage indicates acceptance and responsibility for a request. A Status in response to GetMessage indicates that no mail is waiting for pickup. A Status cannot be mailboxed and may not contain an error.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Respond that there was a problem with the transaction (Error)                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This transaction indicates an error has occurred, indicating the request was terminated. An Error can be generated when there is a communication problem or when the transaction actually had an error. An error can be mailboxed, as it may be signifying to the originator that a transaction was unable to be delivered or encountered problems in the acceptance. The Error must be a different response than a Status, since the communication between the system and the Mailbox must clearly denote the actions taking place. An Error is a response being delivered on behalf of a previous transaction, and the Status signifies no more mail.</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Respond that a transaction requesting a return receipt has been received (Verify)                   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This transaction is a response to a pharmacy or prescriber indicating that a transaction requesting a return receipt has been received. Verifications results when a “return receipt requested” flag is set in the original request. Upon receiving a transaction with ReturnReceipt set, it is the responsibility of the receiver to either generate a Verify in response to the request (recommended) or generate a Status in response to this request, followed subsequently by a free standing Verify. This transaction notifies the originator that the transaction was received at the software system. It is not a notification of action taking place, since time may elapse before the ultimate answer to the transaction may take place.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Both the prescriber and the pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive verify, status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">NCPDP projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Allows for the Exchange of State Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) Data**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 201301</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs Reporting Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 11</a>	Final	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Standard	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard, Version D</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Standard	<a href="#">NIEM, Version 3.2</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">PMIX, Version 2</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">2017 ASAP Version 4.2A Standard for Prescription Monitoring Programs</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ○ ○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">2011 ASAP Version 4.2A Standard for Prescription Monitoring Programs</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">2015 ASAP Prescription Monitoring Program Web Service Standard 2.1A</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">2010 ASAP Prescription Monitoring Program Standards Versions 1.0 for PMP Zero Reports and Error Reports</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ○ ○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2 Standard</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Implementation Guide: US Meds STU2</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">National Drug Code (NDC)</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The use of NDC in conjunction with RxNorm can help minimize gaps in representing medications, including compounded products, over-the-counter medications, and herbals.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">RxNorm</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ RxNorm is often used for the exchange of information; however, it may not be available for export and import by end users.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">RxNav</a> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ NDC mappings are available through RxNorm via RxNav.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Please note that many of the standards, emerging standards, and implementation specifications outlined above are specific to the in-state and interstate exchange of PDMP data. See the PDMP Query Interoperability Need in this Section for a working list of standards, emerging standards, and implementation specifications specific to a provider's ability to query a PDMP from health information technology such as an EHR.</li> <li>• Data may be exchanged directly or through an intermediary. Prescribers, Dispensers, Prescription Monitoring Drug Program (PDMP)s, and other intermediaries and endpoints must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange, including the ability to send or receive status, or error transactions.</li> <li>• If a state PDMP requests either ASAP 4.2 or 4.2A, these versions of the standard includes the Zero Reports and Error Reports standard. ASAP 4.2, 4.2A, and the Zero Reports and Error Reports are also available as separate standards.</li> <li>• All of the ASAP standards are free to non-commercial and non-profit entities such as state PDMPs.</li> <li>• The HL7 FHIR Implementation Guide: US Meds STU2 includes US Meds Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) mapping.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Family Health History (Clinical Genomics)

### Interoperability Need: Representing Family Health History for Clinical Genomics

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4 - Resource FamilyMemberHistory</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4 Implementation Guidance: Genomics</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR®, R4 - Genomic Pedigree</a>	Final	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	Yes

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is no widely recognized vocabulary to capture family genomic health history, but several vocabularies/value sets are available for consideration.</li> <li>Further constraint of this standard and implementation specification may be required to support this interoperability need.</li> <li>The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC), in partnership with National Institutes of Health (NIH), created <a href="#">Sync for Genes</a> to strengthen genomic data sharing, a key component of the Precision Medicine Initiative. This project is also in alignment with the recommendations made by the Precision Medicine Task Force under the Health IT Standards Committee (HITSC) to advance data standards, address relevant privacy policies, and advance innovations in health IT that support precision medicine. Sync for Genes is the first step toward integrating clinical genomics into the point-of-care by expediting the use of standards, such as Health Level 7 (HL7®) Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resource (FHIR®), to enable and improve patient's ability to seamlessly share their genomics information via point-of-care applications, such as application programming interfaces (APIs). Sync for Genes supports a critical element of sharing genomic data amplifying the ability to seamlessly share genomic information for research and commercial purposes. Below are the HL7 FHIR Clinical Genomic profiles that were tested as part of the Sync for Genes work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Family Health History Genetics</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="https://www.hl7.org/fhir/pushpull.html">https://www.hl7.org/fhir/pushpull.html</a></li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Sequencing Quality and Regulatory Genomics</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="https://www.hl7.org/fhir/STU3/sequence.html">https://www.hl7.org/fhir/STU3/sequence.html</a></li> <li><a href="https://www.hl7.org/fhir/STU3/bundle.html">https://www.hl7.org/fhir/STU3/bundle.html</a></li> <li><a href="https://www.hl7.org/fhir/STU3/capabilitystatement.html">https://www.hl7.org/fhir/STU3/capabilitystatement.html</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>The <a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Lab Results Interface (LRI) Version 1, STU 3 - US Realm</a> includes a section that regards genomic information variants. It may be used as an option for meeting this interoperability need until FHIR® resources are more mature.</li> <li>The U.S. Surgeon General also offers the <a href="#">My Family Health Portrait</a>, allowing individuals to enter their family health history details to share with their family members and/or healthcare providers, learn about risk for conditions that can be hereditary, and be saved as a resource that can be maintained and updated over time.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<p>The following vocabularies/value sets may be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gene Identifier: HGNC Value Set</li> <li>Transcript Reference Sequence Identifier: NCBI vocabulary</li> <li>DNA Sequence Variation Identifier: NCBI vocabulary</li> <li>DNA Sequence Variation: HGVS nomenclature</li> </ul>

## Healthy Weight

### Interoperability Need: Sending Health Weight Information

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement – Healthy Weight (HW)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) Healthy Weight Profile Childhood obesity surveillance systems utilize either measured (e.g., NHANES) or parent/self-report height and weight to calculate BMI. The profile also includes the <a href="#">HL7 Occupational Data for Health (ODH)</a> template.</li> <li>Public health agencies have been studying the relationship between obesity and work factors; for example, the prevalence of obesity <a href="#">has been shown</a> to vary substantially by occupation.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Images

### Interoperability Need: Format of Medical Imaging Reports for Exchange and Distribution

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">PS3.20 Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) Standard – Part 20: Imaging Reports using HL7 Clinical Document Architecture.</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>DICOM defines both its own encoding of reports and templates for encoding narrative reports and machine-generated output as DICOM Structured Reports (SR) for use within imaging systems.</li> <li>DICOM Part 20 is an implementation guide for HL7 CDA r2.</li> <li>DICOM also defines a Diagnostic Imaging Report HL7 CDA Template, which is intended to supersede the C-CDA Diagnostic Imaging Report.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>



## Interoperability Need: Format of Radiation Exposure Dose Reports for Exchange and Distribution

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">DICOM PS3.3 2017e A.35.8 X-Ray Radiation Dose SR IOD</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes - Open</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">DICOM PS3.3 2017e A.35.14 Radiopharmaceutical Radiation Dose SR IOD</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes - Open</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">DICOM PS3.3 2017e A.35.18.1 Patient Radiation Dose SR IOD</a>	Final	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes - Open</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Radiation Exposure Monitoring (REM)</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Radiation Exposure Monitoring for Nuclear Medicine (REM-NM)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These reports record radiation dose in three forms: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The dose related information provided by an exposing device, e.g., CT, as reported by the device.</li> <li>The dose related information about a radiopharmaceutical administration, as reported by the administering system.</li> <li>The patient or organ absorbed dose based on exposure information, patient characteristics, and patient model.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The DICOM PS3.3 2017e A.35.8 X-Ray Radiation Dose SR IOD has a higher adoption level for use with CT than for other x-ray modalities.</li> <li>To survey DICOM implementations, a an internet search for the relevant SOP Class UID and the phrase “DICOM Conformance Statement” will typically return links to specific products. SOP Class UIDs can be found by searching for the SOP Class name (e.g. Radiation Dose) in <a href="#">Annex A of DICOM Part 6</a>. For example implementations of X-ray, Radiopharmaceutical and Patient Dose can be found with the following searches, respectively: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.2.840.10008.5.1.4.1.1.88.67 "dicom conformance statement"</li> <li>1.2.840.10008.5.1.4.1.1.88.68 "dicom conformance statement"</li> <li>1.2.840.10008.5.1.4.1.1.88.75 "dicom conformance statement"</li> </ul> </li> <li>See <a href="#">DICOM</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Format of Radiology Reports for Exchange and Distribution

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Management of Radiology Report Templates (MRRT)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Results Distribution (RD)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Medical Image Formats for Data Exchange and Distribution

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use Image Acquisition Technology Specific Service/Object Pairs (SOP) Classes.</li> <li>For this interoperability need, reference DICOM Parts 3, 5, and 6: Image Object Definitions, Data Structures and Encoding, Data Dictionary. The DICOM Standard - Parts 3, 5 and 6 define the required meta information, and standard encoding for storing and exchanging most types of medical “Image Objects”.</li> <li>The adoption level reflects DICOM’s usage when exchanging data between an imaging modality and PACS. An adoption level of three would better reflect the standard’s usage when exchanging medical images between organizations.</li> <li>DICOM Image Object Definitions are “self describing objects” that include the meta information and image information in one object.</li> <li>DICOM also specifies standard “meta objects” that can be used to reference specific images and describe other information that can be applied to those images (e.g. annotations, overlays, window/level settings, measurements, key objects, etc.)</li> <li>The DICOM standard includes the specification for encapsulating standard JPEG photos and MPEG videos with DICOM-defined meta information – so the photo/video becomes a DICOM object. The original JPEG image or MPEG video is preserved inside a DICOM shell. DICOM protocols can then be used to exchange these DICOM-wrapped photos/videos – the same as any other DICOM object.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Image Encryption</b> – encryption of “whole object” or “specific attributes of the image”</li> <li><b>Digital Signatures</b> - to ensure the object has not been altered</li> </ul>

## Laboratory

### Interoperability Need: Exchanging InVitro Diagnostics (IVD) Test Orders & Results

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">LAW – Laboratory Analytical Workflow Profile</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">CLSI AUTO 16 - Next-Generation In Vitro Diagnostic Interface, 1st Edition</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">LIVD – Digital Format for Publication of LOINC to Vendor IVD Test Results</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Implementation Guide - LOINC/IVD Mapping (LIVD) R1 (STU)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No		No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<p>For LAW:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LAW – Laboratory Analytical Workflow Profile – The LAW Profile defines the physical connection, message definitions (based on the HL7 Messaging Standard v2.5.1), and workflow definitions between instruments, middleware, and LIS systems in the laboratory. IICC collaborated with the IHE Pathology and Laboratory Medicine (PaLM) domain to develop the LAW Profile. See: <a href="http://ivdconnectivity.org/law-profile/">http://ivdconnectivity.org/law-profile/</a></li> </ul> <p>For LIVD:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The LIVD – Digital format for Publication of LOINC to Vendor IVD Test results defines the digital publication of LOINC using vendor defined IVD tests associated with a set of pre-defined LOINC codes. LIVD helps assure that laboratory personnel select the appropriate LOINC codes for IVD tests used by their laboratory. LIVD also allows LIS systems to automatically map the correct IVD vendor test result to a LOINC code. LIVD was developed by the IVD Industry Connectivity Consortium in collaboration with SHIELD.</li> <li><a href="#">SHIELD (Systemic Harmonization and Interoperability Enhancement for Laboratory Data)</a> is a multi-agency/stakeholder public-private partnership of over 70 stakeholders across government (FDA, CDC, NIH, ONC, CMS), industry, EHR vendors, laboratories, standards developers, professional organizations and academia, focused on the development/adoption and implementation of data standards to improve laboratory data interoperability.</li> <li>For additional context, please refer to the Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff “<a href="#">Logical Observations Identifiers Names and Codes for In Vitro Diagnostics.</a>”</li> <li>Note that the LIVD Implementation Specification (LIVD – Digital Format for Publication of LOINC to Vendor IVD Test Results) has not been vetted through a Voluntary Consensus Standards Body (VCSB) as defined in OMB Circular A-119.</li> </ul>	<p>For LAW:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IHE/IICC Laboratory Analytical Workflow (LAW) Profile defines plug-n-play connectivity between instruments, middleware, and LIS systems in the laboratory. It standardizes the data flow of IVD patient and QC test work order steps and results. LAW provides the following capabilities, some not currently supported by LIS2 (ASTM): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support for IA, CC, hematology, microbiology, and molecular testing</li> <li>Unique identification of each order request at the test or test panel level</li> <li>Improved query for orders</li> <li>Selection of query as the default mode</li> <li>Simplified order download</li> <li>Ability for an analyzer to accept or reject orders</li> <li>Improved device identification for test logging</li> <li>Contributing substance identification for test logging</li> <li>Basic and enhanced message interface to support IVD instrument rule evaluation</li> <li>LOINC identification of test requests and observations (LIVD format recommended)</li> <li>Unique identification of runs</li> <li>Support for hematology images, graphs, and plots</li> <li>Support for transmission of raw values</li> <li>Support for rerun and reflex testing</li> <li>HL7 2.5.1 based</li> <li>Supports LOINC®, JLAC10, and UCUM</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Ordering Laboratory Tests for a Patient

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Laboratory Orders from EHR (LOI) Release 1, STU Release 3 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">HL7® Version 2 Implementation Guide: Laboratory Value Set Companion Guide Release 1, STU Release 3 - US Realm HL7 Standard for Trial Use</a>, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized requirements.</li> <li>See <a href="#">HL7 V2 projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>			

## Interoperability Need: Receive Electronic Laboratory Test Results

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&amp;I Framework Lab Results Interface, Release 1—US Realm [HL7 Version 2.5.1: ORU_R01] Draft Standard for Trial Use, July 2012</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Specialized Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for C-CDA Release 2.1: Consolidated CDA for Clinical Notes and C-CDA on FHIR R4</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&amp;I Framework Laboratory Results Interface Implementation Guide, Release 1 DSTU Release 3 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">HL7® Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September 2015</a>, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized requirements.</li> <li>• The <a href="#">HL7® EHR-S Functional Requirements: S&amp;I Framework Laboratory Results Messages, Release 1 - US Realm</a> further clarifies sender/receiver responsibilities to achieve end-to-end interoperability for this interoperability need.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">HL7 V2 projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Support the Transmission of a Laboratory’s Directory of Services to Health IT**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&amp;I Framework Laboratory Test Compendium Framework, Release 2, DSTU Release 2 (also referred to as eDOS (Electronic Directory of Service))</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&amp;I Framework Laboratory Test Compendium Framework (eDOS) Release 2, STU Release 3 (US Realm)</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">HL7 Version 2 Implementation Guide: Laboratory Value Set Companion Guide Release 1, STU Release 3 - US Realm, June 2018</a>, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized requirements.</li> <li>• Note that the current version has been harmonized with the most current suite of Lab US Realm Implementation Guides, was updated in the HL7 January 2017 Ballot Cycle, and is pending publication.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">HL7 V2 projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li>• <b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li>• <b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li>• <b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Medical Device Communication to Other Information Systems/Technologies

### Interoperability Need: Transmitting Patient Vital Signs from Medical Devices to Other Information Systems/Technologies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ITU H.810, H.811, H.812, H.812.5, and H.813</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	Yes

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IHE-PCD, Continua and ITU refer to the IEEE 11073-10101 standard for nomenclature.</li> <li>The following specific IHE-PCD profiles that best meet this interoperability need include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles) - Alert Communication Management (ACM)</li> <li>IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles) - Device Enterprise Communication (DEC)</li> <li>IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles) - Implantable Device – Cardiac Observation (IDCO)</li> <li>IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles) - Point-of-Care Infusion Verification (PIV)</li> <li>IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles) - Rosetta Terminology Mapping (RTM)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The <a href="#">Regenstrief LOINC/IEEE Medical Device Code Mapping Table</a> allows enterprise information systems (i.e. "Other information Systems/Technologies") to process vital signs and combine those observations with other types of information; it bridges the semantic map between IEEE 11073 10101 conformant medical devices and certified health IT or aligned information systems that use LOINC already for laboratory reports, document taxonomies, standard forms, questionnaires, assessments, social determinants, and screeners.</li> <li><a href="#">FDA cybersecurity recommendations for medical device manufacturers.</a></li> <li><a href="#">Design Considerations and FDA Pre-Market Submission Recommendations for Interoperable Medical Devices.</a></li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Patient Education Materials

### Interoperability Need: Clinical Information Systems to Request Context-Specific Clinical Knowledge From Online Resources

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Standard: Context Aware Knowledge Retrieval Application (“Infobutton”), Knowledge Request, Release 2</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide: Service-Oriented Architecture Implementations of the Context-aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Implementation Guide: Context-Aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton), Release 4</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">CDS Hooks Services</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	●○○○○○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested</li> </ul>



## Patient Identification Management

### Interoperability Need: Patient Demographic Record Matching

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® 2.5.1</a> (or later) ADT message	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PDQ (Patient Demographic Query)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PDQm (Patient Demographics Query for Mobile)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIXm (Patient Identifier Cross-reference for Mobile)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Implementation Guide for Expressing Context in Direct Messaging</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chapter 3 of the HL7 Standard 2.5.1 named "Patient Administration" is the relevant chapter for Clinical and Administrative Domains.</li> <li><a href="#">NIST Special Publication 800-63, Revision 3</a> defines technical requirements in each of the areas of identity proofing, registration, authenticators, management processes, authentication protocols, federation, and related assertions. These guidelines can be applied for identity proofing of any user or participant in healthcare such as clinicians, caregivers, patients and others.</li> <li>The Implementation Guide for Expressing Context in Direct Messaging was designed to facilitate inter-organizational patient demographic record matching by standardizing the inclusion of patient demographic metadata in Direct messages. Direct is also listed in several Interoperability Needs in Section III - "Push Exchange".</li> <li>Patient Identity Proofing is outside of the scope of this interoperability need but more information related to this topic is below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identity Proofing. Each Signatory's security policy shall include the following elements to ensure appropriate identity proofing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) End Users (provider). Each Signatory shall identity proof participating End Users at <a href="#">Identity Assurance Level 2 (IAL2)</a> prior to issuance of access credentials; and</li> <li>(ii) Individuals (patient). Each Signatory shall identity proof participating individuals at Identity Assurance Level 2 (IAL2) prior to issuance of access credentials; provided, however, that the Signatory may supplement identity information by allowing Participant staff to act as trusted referees and authoritative sources by using personal knowledge of the identity of the individuals (e.g., physical comparison to legal photographic identification cards such as driver's licenses or passports, or employee or school identification badges) collected during an antecedent in-person registration event. All collected personally identifiable information collected by the Signatory shall be limited to the minimum necessary to resolve a unique identity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>See <a href="#">HL7 V2</a>, <a href="#">IHE</a>, and <a href="#">Direct</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Patient Preference/Consent

### Interoperability Need: Recording Patient Preferences for Electronic Consent to Access and/or Share their Health Information with Other Care Providers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Basic Patient Privacy Consents (BPPC)</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes – Open</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA®, Release 2: Consent Directives, Release 1</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Advanced Patient Privacy and Consents (APPC)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Consent Resource</a>	In Development	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">HL7 FHIR® Contract Resource</a>	In Development	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Consent2Share FHIR® Consent Profile Design</a>	In Development	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IHE and CDA-based specifications operate in conjunction with the IHE XDS, XCA, and XDR profiles.</li> <li>IHE BPPC may not support management of patient privacy across governmental jurisdictions which may have different regulations regarding access to patient data by providers, patients, governmental entities, and other organizations.</li> <li>Along with security tokens and consent documents, security labels that are the critical third part of the Attribute-Based-Access-Control and SLS should be mentioned as well. Security Labels are used in CDA, FHIR, as well as the IHE Document Sharing (e.g. XDS), as described on the FHIR security page at <a href="https://www.hl7.org/fhir/security-labels.html">https://www.hl7.org/fhir/security-labels.html</a>.</li> <li>Carequality is working to develop a technical method for distributing and identifying consent forms to be used as part of their <a href="#">Patient Consent Framework</a>.</li> <li>Consent2Share FHIR Consent Profile specifies how <a href="#">Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Consent2Share</a> application and associated access control solution uses FHIR resources to represent and persist patient consent for treatment, research, or disclosure (e.g. 42 CFR Part 2, Title 38)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Consent2Share FHIR Consent profiles are based on FHIR DSTU2 and FHIR STU3. A FHIR R4 version is not currently available.</li> </ul> </li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE</a> and <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li><b>Patient Consent Information</b> - Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed.</li> </ul>

## Public Health Reporting

### Interoperability Need: Case Reporting to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Public Health Case Report, Release 2: the Electronic Initial Case Report (eICR), Release 1, STU Release 1.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Reportability Response, Release 1, STU Release 1.0 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes - Open</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework, Volume 1 (ITI TF-1): Integration Profiles, Section 17: Retrieve Form for Data Capture (RFD)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">Direct (Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v 1.2)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Standard	<a href="#">FHIR® electronic Case Reporting (eCR) Implementation Guide (Balloted Draft)</a> <a href="#">FHIR® electronic Case Reporting (eCR) Implementation Guide (Continuous Integration Build)</a>	In Development	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Electronic Initial Case Report (eICR) and the Reportability Response are paired together in pilot implementations to build a complete workflow.</li> <li>Retrieve Form for Data Capture and Structured Data Capture are paired together in pilot implementation to build a complete workflow.</li> <li>Electronic case reporting involves reporting to State and/or Local jurisdictions. It is not yet widespread.</li> <li>Structured Data Capture Implementation Guide does not currently restrict vocabulary to standard vocabulary sets, and may require further implementation guidance for case reporting purposes.</li> <li>The IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation Guide does not support automated initiation of sending of case reports. It can support manual entry into an electronic form as follow-up to an initial case report submission.</li> <li>The FHIR electronic Case Reporting (eCR) Implementation Guide is included with both its balloted implementation guide and a link to the FHIR continuous build. The later, as a continuous integration build, may at any point in time be unavailable, incoherent, or undergoing rapid change.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some additional implementation guides related to public health reporting follow. Reporting is often captured under a specialized registry with associated standards when not specified as a separate measure. These include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI)</a></li> <li><a href="#">Office of Populations Affairs (OPA) Family Planning Reporting IHE Profile</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>Direct is used as the transport for performing an unsolicited push for Case Reporting to Public Health Agencies in some jurisdictions. See “An Unsolicited "Push" of Clinical Health Information to a Known Destination Between Systems.” In Section III – Push Exchange</li> <li>Note that the maturity level of FHIR resources may vary. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a> and <a href="#">IHE</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FHIR Security Labels support compliance with laws, policies, and consent directives governing HIPAA PHI and specially protected information (SPI).</li> </ul>
--	--

### Interoperability Need: Data Submission for Title X Family Planning Annual Reporting

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement Family Planning Version 2 (FPv2) Rev. 1.1 – Trial Implementation</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The HHS Office of Population Affairs (OPA) is currently engaged in an overhaul of their Family Planning Annual Reporting system, to enable the reporting of encounter level data from all of their Title X sites in a standard format and via a standard methodology. OPA is currently piloting two interoperability standards through this project and is intending to begin collecting data according to this new system in the future.</li> <li>Visit the <a href="#">Office of Population Affairs (OPA) website</a> for more information about the Family Planning Annual Report, and <a href="#">The Family Planning Annual Report and Health Information Technology (Health IT) Initiative (FPAR 2.0)</a>.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Interoperability Need: Electronic Transmission of Reportable Laboratory Results to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1: Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health (US Realm), Release 1 with Errata and Clarifications and ELR 2.5.1 Clarification Document for EHR Technology Certification</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health, Release 2 (US Realm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●○○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Laboratory Results Interface, Release 1 STU Release 3 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●○○○○○	No	Free	No

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting ELR as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.</li> <li>While the names differ, please note the content in the first two Electronic Laboratory Reporting (ELR) implementation specifications listed above is now handled as a profile in the third listing, the Laboratory Results Interface (LRI) implementation specification, using the “LRI_PH_COMPONENT – ID: 2.16.840.1.113883.9.195.3.5” Result Profile Component.</li> <li>See <a href="#">HL7 V2 projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Exchanging Immunization Data with Immunization Registries

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.4</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting immunization registry data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.</li> <li><a href="#">HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5 – Addendum</a> is also available.</li> <li>See <a href="#">HL7 V2 projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Newborn Screening Results and Birth Defect Reporting to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Ambulatory Healthcare Provider Reporting to Birth Defect Registries, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Version 2.6 Implementation Guide: Critical Congenital Heart Defects (CCHD) pulse oximetry screening results, Release 1</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Version 2.6 Implementation Guide: Early Hearing, Detection and Intervention (EHDI) Results Release 1</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement Newborn Admission Notification Information (NANI) Rev. 2.1 – Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Laboratory Results Interface, Release 1 STU Release 3 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Use of the listed test tool for "Ambulatory Healthcare Provider Reporting to Birth Defect Registries" <b>requires</b> digital certificates. Contact <a href="mailto:MBDR.Help@altarum.org">MBDR.Help@altarum.org</a> for digital certification information.</li> <li>There is current work to update the listed "ambulatory" implementation guides to include hospital reporting capabilities and Zika-related information.</li> <li>The "Newborn Admission Notification Information (NANI)" is included here because its functionality directly supports other standards under this heading.</li> <li>The "Implementation Guide: Laboratory Results Interface" is included because it covers newborn dried bloodspot screening in addition to general laboratory results, specifications focused on micro-biology, and clinical genomics.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Reporting Antimicrobial Use and Resistance Information to Public Health Agencies**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2 – Level 3: Healthcare Associated Infection Reports, Release 1, U.S. Realm.</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is a national reporting system to CDC. Stakeholders should refer to the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/cdaportal/meaningfuluse.html">https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/cdaportal/meaningfuluse.html</a> for information on participation.</li> <li>Release 1 of the Healthcare Associated Infections IG is normative and used in ONC certification. While there are more current releases of the Healthcare Associated Infection Reports IG, they are not valid for AU or AR submissions to NHSN. These newer releases can be found at the same link as Release 1.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>



## Interoperability Need: Reporting Birth and Fetal Death to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement 10 Birth and Fetal Death Reporting-Enhanced (BFDR-E)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.6 Implementation Guide: Birth and Fetal Death Reporting, Release 1 STU Release 2 (US Realm - Standard for Trial Use)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Birth and Fetal Death Reporting, Release 1, STU Release 2 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)</a> has previously developed HL7 messaging and document standards for birth and fetal death reporting. Recently, NCHS has made the decision to move to the FHIR standards for exchange of data between jurisdictions and NCHS, and plans to develop an HL7 FHIR IG for Birth and Fetal Death Reporting during the year 2020. This work is sponsored under the <a href="#">HL7 Public Health Work Group</a>.</li> <li>Currently, mappings to birth and fetal death reporting FHIR resources can be found in the listed IHE profile.</li> <li>The V2 test tools listed above are found under "Tool scope: Vital Records".</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Reporting Cancer Cases to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Implementation Guide for Ambulatory Healthcare Provider Reporting to Central Cancer Registries, August 2012</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® Release 2 Implementation Guide: Reporting to Public Health Cancer Registries from Ambulatory Healthcare Providers, Release 1, DSTU Release 1.1 – US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●○○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">North American Association of Central Cancer Registries, Inc. (NAACCR), Standards for Cancer Registries, Volume V, Pathology Laboratory Electronic Reporting, Version 4.0, published April 2011</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	Yes	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a> <a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting cancer reporting data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements. Some jurisdictions may not support cancer case reporting at this time.</li> <li>Note that the NAACCR specification listed has not been vetted through a Voluntary Consensus Standards Body (VSCB), however it references the HL7 V 2.5.1 standard and LOINC, and has been sponsored by a number of organizations working in the cancer registry space.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a>, <a href="#">IHE</a>, and <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Reporting Death Records to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement Vital Records Death Reporting (VRDR) R 3.2</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.6 Implementation Guide: Vital Records Death Reporting, Release 1 (US Realm - Standard for Trial Use)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA R2 Implementation Guide: Vital Records Death Reporting, Release 1 STU 2 - (US Realm) (Standard for Trial Use)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Vital Records Death Reporting v0.1.0 – STU Ballot #1</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <a href="#">National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)</a> had previously developed HL7 messaging and document standards for mortality reporting. NCHS has recently made the decision to move to the FHIR standards for exchange of data between jurisdictions and NCHS and is in the process of developing an HL7 FHIR IG for Death Reporting which should be published by January 2020. This will be tested at the IHE Connectathon in January 2020 and piloted at NCHS during that same year.</li> <li>HL7 balloted HL7 Version 2.6 Implementation Guide: Vital Records Death Reporting, Release 2 (US Realm - Standard for Trial Use) in May 2019. Publication is expected by December 2019.</li> <li>The V2 test tools above are found under "Tool scope: Vital Records"</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

**Interoperability Need: Reporting Syndromic Surveillance to Public Health (Emergency Department, Inpatient, and Urgent Care Settings)**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department, Urgent Care, Inpatient and Ambulatory Care Settings, Release 2.0</a>	Final	Pilot	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Erratum to the PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department, Urgent Care, Inpatient and Ambulatory Care Settings Release 2.0 (April 21, 2015)</a>	Final	Pilot	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 PHIN Messaging Guide For Syndromic Surveillance, Release 2.0 - NIST Clarifications and Validation Guidelines (Version 1.6)</a>	Final	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Data Release 1.1</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Testing Clarification for PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Data (Release 1.1) Release 1.2 (February 15th, 2013)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Syndromic Surveillance, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stakeholders must refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting syndromic surveillance data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.</li> <li>The PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance Release 2.0 and its errata are referenced in the 2015 Edition Health Information Technology (Health IT) Certification Criteria, 2015 Edition and are <a href="#">currently used for certification</a>. In addition see the "NIST Clarifications and Validation Guidelines (Version 1.6)" listed above.</li> <li>The PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance Release 1.1 and its "testing clarification" document are referenced in the Health Information Technology: Standards, Implementation Specifications, and Certification Criteria for Electronic Health Record Technology, 2014 Edition and were <a href="#">previously used for certification</a>.</li> <li>Additional information can be found at the <a href="#">NSSP Resource Center</a>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Sending Health Care Survey Information to Public Health Agencies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA® R2: National Health Care Surveys (NHCS), Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: National Health Care Surveys (NHCS), R1 DSTU Release 1.1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: National Health Care Surveys (NHCS), R1 DSTU Release 1.2 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stakeholders should refer to the National Health Care Survey Registry at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/dhcs/nhcs_registry_landing.htm">https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/dhcs/nhcs_registry_landing.htm</a> for information on participation.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Research

### Interoperability Need: Data Collection for Submission to Registries and Reporting Authorities

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture)</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Pre-population of Research Forms from Electronic Health Records

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Shared Health And Research Electronic Library (SHARE)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture)</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-CRD (Clinical Research Document)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-XUA (Cross-Enterprise User Assertion)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-ATNA (Audit Trail and Node Authentication)</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-DEX (Data Element Exchange)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Implementation Guide: Structured Data Capture (SDC) Release 1</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Resource Medication-Content</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Resource Observation-Content</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Audit Event</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Production</i>	●●●○○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Questionnaire/Questionnaire Response</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Resource Research Study - Content</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Resource Research Subject - Content</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Resource Questionnaire Response - Content</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Registering a Clinical Trial

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">CDISC Clinical Trial Registry (CTR-XML)</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">IHE-CPRC (Clinical Research Process Content)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ● ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">IHE-RPE (Retrieve Protocol for Execution)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	No
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Resource Research Study - Content</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The CDISC Clinical Trial Registry (CTR-XML) is used internationally, but in the US, the primary area for registering Clinical Trials is via ClinicalTrials.gov.</li> <li>CTR-XML standard is based on CDISC ODM. It is an extension of the ODM standard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>



**Interoperability Need: Submission of Clinical Research Data Contained in EHRs and Other Health IT Systems for General Purpose or Preserving Specific FDA Requirements**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">IHE- RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture)</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Operational Data Model (ODM)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Protocol Representation Model (PRM)</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Study/Trial Design Model (SDM)</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-RPE (Retrieve Protocol for Execution)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-CRPC (Clinical Research Process Content)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM)</a>	Final	Feedback requested	Feedback requested	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">CDISC Study Data Tabulation Model Implementation Guide</a>	Final	Feedback requested	Feedback requested	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">CDISC Therapeutic Area User Guides</a>	Final	Feedback requested	Feedback requested	No	Free	No
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">CDISC Pharmacogenomics/genetics (PGx) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback requested</i>	<i>Feedback requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stakeholders should review <a href="#">21CFR11</a> for more details.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Interoperability Need: Submission of Clinical Research Data to FDA to Support Product Marketing Applications

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Analysis Dataset Model (ADaM)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Operational Data Model (ODM)</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Dataset-XML (ODM-Based)</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Define-XML (ODM-Based)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Standard for the Exchange of Non-clinical Data (SEND)</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Study Data Tabulation Model Implementation Guide for Medical Devices (SDTMIG-MD)</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">Therapeutic Area Standards (to complement the aforementioned CDISC foundational standards that apply across all therapeutic areas)</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">CDISC Questionnaires, Ratings and Scales (QRS)</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">CDISC Pharmacogenomics/genetics (PGx) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FDA published the draft guidance promoting use of EHRs in clinical research, in collaboration with ONC. (<a href="http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/@fdagov-drugs-gen/documents/document/ucm501068.pdf">http://www.fda.gov/ucm/groups/fdagov-public/@fdagov-drugs-gen/documents/document/ucm501068.pdf</a>)</li> <li>FDA CDER published a FRN focusing on Source Data Capture From Electronic Health Records: Using Standardized Clinical Research Data. (<a href="https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2015/06/26/2015-15644/source-data-capture-from-electronic-health-records-using-standardized-clinical-research-data">https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2015/06/26/2015-15644/source-data-capture-from-electronic-health-records-using-standardized-clinical-research-data</a>)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

- FDA CDER and CBER encourage the submission of study data in conformance to the data standards listed in the FDA Data Standards Catalog (DSC). Standardized study data will be required in submissions for clinical and non-clinical studies that start on or after December 17, 2016 (December 17, 2017 for INDs). See Data Standards Catalog: (<http://www.fda.gov/ForIndustry/DataStandards/StudyDataStandards/default.htm>) and the Data Standards Strategy: (<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/developmentapprovalprocess/formssubmissionrequirements/electronic submissions/ucm455270.pdf>)
- Although CDISC standards are a requirement for CDER and CBER and not for CDRH, all three Centers promote the use of Real World Data (RWD) in EHRs, registries, administrative claims and mobile health technology to generate Real World Evidence regarding the safety and effectiveness of medical products. In addition, FDA collaborates closely with other standards development organizations including but not limited to HL7, IHE, X12, and NCPDP.
- FDA CDRH and CBER published the draft guidance: Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Medical Devices. (see <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM513027.pdf>)
- Therapeutic Area Standards, that apply across a number of therapeutic areas, include a series of IGs at different level of maturity, from development to final.

**Interoperability Need: Submit Adverse Event Report from an Electronic Health Record to Drug Safety Regulators**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture)</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-DSC (Drug Safety Content)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-CPRC (Clinical Research Process Content)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	N/A
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Adverse Event Resource</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Segmentation of Sensitive Information

### Interoperability Need: Data Segmentation of Sensitive Information

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Implementation Guide: Data Segmentation for Privacy (DS4P), Release 1</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® v2.8 - ARV Access Restrictions Segment</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4 - Security Labels</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Volume 4 - National Extensions – Section 3.1 Data Segmentation for Privacy (DS4P)</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2015 Edition Health IT Certification Criterion for DS4P (<a href="#">§ 170.315(b)(7)</a> and <a href="#">§ 170.315(b)(8)</a>), requires the use of the cda Privacy Segmented Document template for certification.</li> <li>HL7 v3 Implementation Guide for DS4P provides CDA templates to enable privacy and segmentation markings at the document, section and entry (data element) levels: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>cda Privacy Markings Section- specifies how a document, section, or entry may be constrained to specify privacy and security markings.</li> <li>cda Privacy Segmented Section-may apply to any section of a C-CDA document if that section metadata (sensitivity, confidentiality) is different than the document's overall</li> <li>Privacy Metadata Templates-support the exchange of protected information by annotating specific entries with several observations, policies and constraints. Examples include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>cda Privacy Annotation-a set of security observations that allow for specific privacy metadata for an entry that overrides that of a document or section</li> <li>cda Protected Problem-combines a mandatory provenance and privacy annotations with the default constraints applied to a ProblemObservation</li> <li>cda Security Observation-a class of abstract templates to indicate a security classification, control, category, or integrity criterion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Subclasses include Obligation, Confidentiality, Refrain Policy, and Purpose of Use Security Observations</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>Consent2Share FHIR Consent Profile specifies how <a href="#">Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA)</a> Consent2Share application and associated access control solution uses FHIR resources to represent and persist patient consent for treatment, research, or disclosure (e.g. 42 CFR Part 2, Title 38)</li> <li>For C-CDA transmission, document level DS4P is required in the C-CDA General Header. Therefore, adoption levels may be higher for document level tagging (vs. section level).</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> and <a href="#">DS4P</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul> </li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Summary Care Record

### Interoperability Need: Support a Transition of Care or Referral to Another Health Care Provider

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Consolidated CDA® Release 1.1 (HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: IHE Health Story Consolidation, DSTU Release 1.1 - US Realm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Patient Care Coordination Technical Framework Supplement 360 Exchange Closed Loop Referral (360X) Rev. 1.1 – Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Specialized Standard</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Payer Coverage Decision Exchange (PCDE) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are several specific document templates within the C-CDA implementation specification. Trading partners will need to ensure that their systems are capable of supporting specific document templates.</li> <li>HL7 provides a <a href="#">C-CDA Example repository</a> which contains a set of example C-CDA files that have undergone a review and vetting process to ensure completeness and rigor.</li> <li>The IHE 360X specification listed is designed to track and manage referrals across health IT platforms.</li> <li>The NCPDP Specialized Standard supports request/referral for Medication Therapy Management services.</li> <li>Implementers should explore use of emerging <a href="#">CDA on FHIR</a> and <a href="#">C-CDA on FHIR</a> to support this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">CDA</a> and <a href="#">CCDA</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Unique Device Identification

### Interoperability Need: Defining a Globally Unique Device Identifier

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Unique device identifier as defined by the Food and Drug Administration at 21 CFR 830.3</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Harmonization Pattern for Unique Device Identifiers</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Per the FDA, Unique Device Identification system will be phased in over several years, with the final compliance date of September, 2020.</li> <li>Compliance date for UDI of implantable, life supporting and life sustaining devices was 9/24/2015. These data are available at <a href="http://accessgudid.nlm.nih.gov">http://accessgudid.nlm.nih.gov</a></li> <li>The HL7 Harmonization Pattern for UDIs is currently in development, with the next revision release anticipated in February 2018.</li> <li>See <a href="#">UDI projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Unique Implantable Device Identifiers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Unique device identifier as defined by the Food and Drug Administration at 21 CFR 830.3</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Cross-Paradigm Implementation Guide: UDI Pattern, Release 1</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Standard	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	Yes
Standard	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide, Version F2</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Product Identifiers Standard Implementation Guide Version 1.4</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® US Core Implantable Device Profile</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: C-CDA Supplemental Templates for Unique Device Identifier (UDI) for Implantable Medical Devices, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Per the FDA, Unique Device Identification system will be phased in over several years, with the final compliance date of September, 2020.</li> <li>Compliance date for UDI of implantable, life supporting and life sustaining devices was 9/24/2015. These data are available at <a href="http://accessgudid.nlm.nih.gov">http://accessgudid.nlm.nih.gov</a></li> <li>HL7 Cross-Paradigm Implementation Guide: UDI Pattern, Release 1 - will be updated with HL7 FHIR Releases.</li> <li>See <a href="#">UDI projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Transmitting a Unique Device Identifier

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Unique device identifier as defined by the Food and Drug Administration at 21 CFR 830.3</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Cross-Paradigm Implementation Guide: UDI Pattern, Release 1</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Value Set(s) and Starter Set(s):
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Per the FDA, Unique Device Identification system will be phased in over several years, with the final compliance date of September, 2020.</li> <li>Compliance date for UDI of implantable, life supporting and life sustaining devices was 9/24/2015. These data are available at <a href="http://accessgudid.nlm.nih.gov">http://accessgudid.nlm.nih.gov</a></li> <li>The HL7 Harmonization Pattern for UDIs is currently in development.</li> <li>See <a href="#">UDI projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>



## Section III: Standards and Implementation Specifications for Services/Transport/Exchange

### “Push” Exchange

#### Interoperability Need: An Unsolicited “Push” of Clinical Health Information to a Known Destination and Information System User

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Direct (Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">IHE-XDR (Cross-Enterprise Document Reliable Interchange)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IG for Direct Edge Protocols</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IG for Delivery Notification in Direct</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">XDR and XDM for Direct Messaging Specification</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ITU H.810, H.811, H.812, and H.813</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Implementation Guide for Expressing Context in Direct Messaging v1.1</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Pharmacist eCare Plan Version 1.0: Guidance on the Use of the HL7 CDA Consolidated Templates for Clinical Notes R2.1 Care Plan</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This interoperability need also includes transport for the following purposes, primarily using the Direct Standard: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transport for Transition of Care or Referral to Another Health Care Provider</li> <li>Transport for a Notification of a Patient’s Admission, Discharge and/or Transfer Status to Other Providers</li> </ul> </li> <li>“Direct” standard is based upon the underlying standard: Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321 and for security uses Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> – The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>Recipient Encryption</b> – the message and health information are encrypted for the intended user.</li> <li><b>Sender Signature</b> – details that are necessary to identity of the individual sending the message.</li> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> </ul>

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of “trust” between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which parties belong. The leading trust communities to enable communication amongst the most users include <a href="#">DirectTrust</a> (for provider messaging and consumer-mediated exchange) and <a href="#">NATE</a> (for consumer-mediated exchange).</li> <li>• As of March 2019, DirectTrust received accreditation as an ANSI SDO. A new division of the organization, DirectTrust Standards has convened a consensus body to update and maintain the Direct Standard (TM) going forward and to seek ANSI approval for the Standard.</li> <li>• The ITU implementation specifications are Continua Design Guidelines, developed to provide a suite of open industry standards and specifications that provide several means to end-to-end interoperability between personal medical devices and health information systems. Unrestricted access to the implementation specification: <a href="http://www.pchalliance.org/continua-design-guidelines">http://www.pchalliance.org/continua-design-guidelines</a></li> <li>• See <a href="#">Direct</a> and <a href="#">IHE</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Patient Consent Information</b> – Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information.</li> <li>○ May be required to authorize access and use of patient information.</li> <li>○ May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

## Interoperability Need: An Unsolicited “Push” of Clinical Health Information to a Known Destination Between Systems

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">SOAP-Based Secure Transport Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) version 1.0 specification</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">Direct (Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DSTU 2</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Messaging Platform</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Authorization Framework</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Document Submission</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-XDR (Cross-Enterprise Document Reliable Interchange)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2017071</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 2019071</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IHE-XDR implementation specification is based upon the underlying standards: SOAP v2, and OASIS ebXML Registry Services 3.0.</li> <li>The eHealth Exchange Specification: Authorization Framework implementation specification is based upon the underlying standards: SAML v1.2, XSPAv1.0, and WS-1.1.</li> <li>“Direct” standard is based upon the underlying standard: Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321 and for security uses Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of “trust” between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which parties belong. The leading trust communities to enable communication amongst the most users include <a href="#">DirectTrust</a> (for provider messaging and consumer-mediated exchange) and <a href="#">NATE</a> (for consumer-mediated exchange).</li> <li>As of March 2019, DirectTrust received accreditation as an ANSI SDO. A new division of the organization, DirectTrust Standards has convened a consensus body to update and maintain the Direct Standard (TM) going forward and to seek ANSI approval for the Standard.</li> <li>The reference to FHIR for this interoperability need is in relation to the transport services that are conformant to the “<a href="#">RESTful FHIR API</a>”.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a>, <a href="#">Direct</a> and <a href="#">IHE</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>
--	--

### Interoperability Need: Medical Device Communication to Other Information Systems/Technologies

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ITU H.810, H.811, H.812, and H.813</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Continua Design Guidelines</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These ITU standards are Continua Design Guidelines, developed to provide a suite of open industry standards and specifications that provide several means to end-to-end interoperability between personal medical devices and health information systems. Unrestricted access to the implementation specification: <a href="http://www.pchalliance.org/continua-design-guidelines">http://www.pchalliance.org/continua-design-guidelines</a></li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>
--	--

### Interoperability Need: Push Communication of Vital Signs from Medical Devices

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">IEEE 11073-10101-2004 - Health informatics -- Point-of-care medical device communication -- Part 10101: Nomenclature</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	\$	Yes <sup>s</sup>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles)</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ITU H.810, H.811, H.812, H812.5 and H.813</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ISO/IEEE 11073 is a family of standards for various medical devices.</li> <li>The IEEE1073 Nomenclature is recognized in the IHE/HL7 record set.</li> <li>The ITU implementation specifications are Continua Design Guidelines, developed to provide a suite of open industry standards and specifications that provide several means to end-to-end interoperability between personal medical devices and health information systems. Unrestricted access to the implementation specification: <a href="http://www.pchalliance.org/continua/products/design-guidelines">http://www.pchalliance.org/continua/products/design-guidelines</a>.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

### Interoperability Need: Remote Patient Monitoring to Support Chronic Condition Management, Patient Education, and Patient Engagement

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ITU H.810, H.811, H.812, H812.5, and H.813</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>These ITU standards are Continua Design Guidelines, developed to provide a suite of open industry standards and specifications that provide several means to end-to-end interoperability between personal medical devices and health information systems. Unrestricted access to the implementation specification: <a href="http://www.pchalliance.org/continua-design-guidelines">http://www.pchalliance.org/continua-design-guidelines</a></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Representing Path Traversal Expressions

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<i>Emerging Standard</i>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR®– FluentPath, STU 1, Release 1</a>	Balloted Draft	<i>Pilot</i>	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a> Projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>			

## Clinical Decision Support Services

### Interoperability Need: Providing Patient-Specific Assessments and Recommendations Based on Patient Data for Clinical Decision Support

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Standard: Decision Support Service, Release 2.</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide: Decision Support Service, Release 1.1, US Realm, Draft Standard for Trial Use</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Profile: Quality Improvement Core (QI Core), Release 1, STU 3</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	No
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">HL7® Cross-Paradigm Specification: Clinical Quality Language (COL), Release 1, STU Release 2</a> and <a href="#">HL7® Cross-Paradigm Specification: Clinical Quality Language, Release 1, STU 3</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ● ● ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® Standard: Clinical Quality Language Specification, Release 1 STU4</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">HL7® CDS Hooks Services</a>	<i>Balloted Draft</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	● ● ○ ○ ○ ○	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>				<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a> &amp; <a href="#">IHE</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>Recipient Encryption</b> - the message and health information are encrypted for the intended user.</li> <li><b>Sender Signature</b> – details that are necessary to identity of the individual sending the message.</li> </ul>			

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li>• <b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> </ul>
--	---

**Interoperability Need: Retrieval of Contextually Relevant, Patient-Specific Knowledge Resources from Within Clinical Information Systems to Answer Clinical Questions Raised by Patients in the Course of Care**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Standard: Context Aware Knowledge Retrieval Application (“Infobutton”), Knowledge Request, Release 2</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Version 3 Implementation Guide: Context-Aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton), Release 4</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide: Service-Oriented Architecture Implementations of the Context-aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">CDS Hooks Services 1.0</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Implementation Guide Clinical Reasoning Module, FHIR STU Release 4</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○	No	Free	No

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Consumer Access/Exchange of Health Information

### Interoperability Need: Collection and Exchange of Patient Reported Outcomes

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Patient Reported Outcomes Implementation Guide</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Patient Reported Outcomes Implementation Guide (Continuous Integration Build)</a>	In Development	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	N/A
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Argonaut Questionnaire Implementation Guide</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The FHIR Patient Reported Outcomes (PRO) Implementation Guide is included with the balloted, standard for trial use (STU) implementation guide and a link to the continuous build of the same. The latter, as a continuous integration build, may at any point in time be unavailable or undergoing change.</li> <li>The creation/generation and scoring of PRO measure instruments and interpretation of the PRO data is dictated by the organizations/institutions that created, tested, and validated them.</li> <li>The HL7 FHIR PRO IG is not intended to be used to define or generate PRO measure instruments, or interpret PRO data.</li> <li>The FHIR PRO IG leverages the Structured Data Capture Implementation Specification and the profiles listed below to capture and exchange patient reported outcome data: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">SDC Questionnaire</a></li> <li><a href="#">SDC QuestionnaireResponse</a></li> <li><a href="#">SDC Adaptive Questionnaire</a></li> <li><a href="#">SDC Adaptive QuestionnaireResponse</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>See the PRO project in the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">Interoperability Proving Ground</a></li> <li><a href="#">ONC Health IT Scientific Initiatives Realm</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>PROM Instrument and Meta Data Security Conformance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Communication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Authentication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHOULD</b> support other security recommendations outlined in FHIR Security as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>EHR or Care Delivery IT System Security Conformance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Communication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Authentication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHOULD</b> support other security recommendations outlined in FHIR Security as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>External Pro Administration System Security Conformance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Communication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Authentication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHOULD</b> support other security recommendations outlined in FHIR Security as appropriate.</li> </ul> <p>Patient Facing Administration App System Security Conformance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Communication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHALL</b> support the Authentication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li><b>SHOULD</b> support other security recommendations outlined in FHIR Security as appropriate.</li> <li><b>MAY</b> have to comply with other security requirements to interact with the External Assessment Center.</li> </ul> <p>External Assessment Center Security Conformance</p>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>SHALL</b> support the Communication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li>• <b>SHALL</b> support the Authentication security mechanisms outlined in <a href="#">FHIR Security Specification</a></li> <li>• <b>SHOULD</b> support other security recommendations outlined in FHIR Security as appropriate.</li> <li>• <b>MAY</b> have to comply with other security requirements to interact with the External Assessment Center.</li> </ul> <p>Feedback Requested, as security is at the discretion of the implementing organization based on the ecosystem and operational considerations within each organization.</p>
--	---

### Interoperability Need: Patient Exchanging Secure Messages with Care Providers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2 (Direct)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	Yes
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)</a> <a href="#">Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	\$	No
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® RESTful API</a>	<i>Final</i>	<i>Production</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>Yes</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To learn more about Patient Portals and their usage, see the <a href="#">Patient Engagement Playbook</a>.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">FHIR</a>, <a href="#">Direct</a>, <a href="#">Patient Portal</a>, <a href="#">API</a>, and <a href="#">Open API</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>• “Direct” standard is based upon the underlying standard: <a href="#">Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321</a> and for security uses <a href="#">Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751</a>.</li> <li>• For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of “trust” between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which parties belong. The leading trust communities to enable communication amongst the most users include <a href="#">DirectTrust</a> (for provider messaging and consumer-mediated exchange) and <a href="#">NATE</a> (for consumer-mediated exchange).</li> <li>• As of March 2019, DirectTrust received accreditation as an ANSI SDO. A new division of the organization, DirectTrust Standards has convened a consensus body to update and maintain the Direct Standard (TM) going forward and to seek ANSI approval for the Standard.</li> <li>• Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs) may be used when data is being exchanged between patients and providers.</li> <li>• The <a href="#">SMART on FHIR</a> Project is working in this area, and may have additional implementation guidance, as well as a list of applications supporting this interoperability need.</li> <li>• When using the SMART on FHIR model, the authentication model uses OAuth2. Except for “Secure Communication”, the security patterns listed do not apply.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>System Authentication</b> – The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li>• <b>User Details</b> – identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> – Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li>• <b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Push Patient-Generated Health Data into Integrated EHR

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2 (Direct)</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	Yes
<b>Standard</b>	<a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs)</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○	Yes	\$	No
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® RESTful API</a>	<i>Final</i>	<i>Production</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Patient Reported Outcomes Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">SMART on FHIR®</a>	<i>Final</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To learn more about Patient-Generated Health Data and its usage, see the <a href="#">Patient Engagement Playbook</a>, as well as ONC's <a href="#">Patient-Generated Health Data webpage</a>.</li> <li>ONC published a <a href="#">White Paper</a> and a <a href="#">Practical Guide</a> to better understand and illustrate the opportunities, challenges, and best practices for using patient generated health data.</li> <li>Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs) may be used, as appropriate, when pushing patient-generated health data into integrated EHRs.</li> <li>The <a href="#">SMART on FHIR</a> Project is working in this area, and may have additional implementation guidance, as well as a list of applications supporting this interoperability need.</li> <li>When using the SMART on FHIR model, the authentication model uses OAuth2. Except for “Secure Communication”, the security patterns listed do not apply.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a>, <a href="#">Direct</a>, <a href="#">Patient Portal</a>, <a href="#">API</a>, and <a href="#">Open API</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>“Direct” standard is based upon the underlying standard: <a href="#">Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321</a> and for security uses <a href="#">Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751</a>.</li> <li>For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of “trust” between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which parties belong. The leading trust communities to enable communication amongst the most users include <a href="#">DirectTrust</a> (for provider messaging and consumer-mediated exchange) and <a href="#">NATE</a> (for consumer-mediated exchange).</li> <li>As of March 2019, DirectTrust received accreditation as an ANSI SDO. A new division of the organization, DirectTrust Standards has convened a consensus body to update and maintain the Direct Standard (TM) going forward and to seek ANSI approval for the Standard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> – The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>User Details</b> – identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> – Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li><b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> <li><b>Query Request ID</b> – Query requesting application assigns a unique identifier for each query request in order to match the response to the original query.</li> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Remote Patient Authorization and Submission of EHR Data for Research

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® RESTful API</a>	<i>Final</i>	<i>Production</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Patient Reported Outcomes Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">Health Relationship Trust (HEART) Specification</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">SMART on FHIR®</a>	<i>Final</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See <a href="#">Sync for Science</a> and <a href="#">Sync for Genes</a> for more details about the research project use case that pertains to this interoperability need.</li> <li>To learn more about how APIs can help patients participate in research, see the <a href="#">Patient Engagement Playbook</a>.</li> <li>The Kantara Initiative's <a href="#">UMA (User Managed Access)</a> Work Group project's use case is designed to develop specifications that allow individual control of authorized data sharing and service access to promote interoperability in support of this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a>, <a href="#">API</a>, and <a href="#">Open API</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs) may be used when data is being exchanged between patients and providers.</li> <li>The <a href="#">SMART on FHIR</a> Project is working in this area, and may have additional implementation guidance, as well as a list of applications supporting this interoperability need.</li> <li>When using the SMART on FHIR model, the authentication model uses OAuth2. The other security patterns listed do not apply.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> – The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>User Details</b> – identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Patient Consent Information</b> – Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information</li> <li>May be required to authorize access and use of patient information.</li> <li>May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> – Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li><b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: View, Download, and Transmit Data from EHR

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2 (Direct)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	<a href="#">Yes</a>	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DSTU 2, Argonaut Data Query Implementation Guide</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a> <a href="#">Yes</a> <a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Standard	<a href="#">Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">SMART on FHIR®</a>	Final	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To learn more about Patient Portals and their usage, see the <a href="#">Patient Engagement Playbook</a>.</li> <li>For a consumer-facing resource on this interoperability need, see <a href="#">ONC's Guide to Getting &amp; Using Your Health Records</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR</a>, <a href="#">Direct</a>, <a href="#">Patient Portal</a>, <a href="#">API</a>, and <a href="#">Open API</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> <li>“Direct” standard is based upon the underlying standard: <a href="#">Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321</a> and for security uses <a href="#">Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751</a>.</li> <li>For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of “trust” between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which parties belong. The leading trust communities to enable communication amongst the most users include <a href="#">DirectTrust</a> (for provider messaging and consumer-mediated exchange) and <a href="#">NATE</a> (for consumer-mediated exchange).</li> <li>As of March 2019, DirectTrust received accreditation as an ANSI SDO. A new division of the organization, DirectTrust Standards has convened a consensus body to update and maintain the Direct Standard (TM) going forward and to seek ANSI approval for the Standard. Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) Consumer Friendly Descriptors (CFDs) may be used when data is being exchanged between patients and providers.</li> <li>The <a href="#">SMART on FHIR</a> Project is working in this area, and may have additional implementation guidance, as well as a list of applications supporting this interoperability need</li> <li>When using the SMART on FHIR model, the authentication model uses OAuth2. Except for “Secure Communication”, the security patterns listed do not apply.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> – The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li><b>User Details</b> – identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> – Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li><b>Patient Consent Information</b> – Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information.</li> <li>○ May be required to authorize access and use of patient information.</li> <li>○ May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Query Request ID</b> – Query requesting application assigns a unique identifier for each query request in order to match the response to the original query.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> </ul>

## Healthcare Directory, Provider Directory

### Interoperability Need: Listing of Providers for Access by Potential Exchange Partners

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Validated Healthcare Directory Implementation Guide Home</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Healthcare Provider Directory (HPD), Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Argonaut Provider Directory Implementation Guide Version 1.0.0</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	● ● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Mobile Care Services Discovery (mCSD)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Provider Data Exchange (PDex: Plan Network Directory) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Healthcare Provider Directory (HPD), Trial Implementation was proposed, but not adopted for CEHRT 2015. The Health IT community has recognized the value of the underlying data elements and structure of that standard. However, this implementation specification has met with limited adoption due to several concerns.</li> <li>FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE</a> and <a href="#">FHIR</a> projects in the Interoperability Proving Ground</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> </ul>

## Image Exchange

### Interoperability Need: Exchanging Images Outside a Specific Health Information Exchange Domain

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-Cross Community Access for Imaging (XCA-I)</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	the combination of <a href="#">IHE-XCPD (Cross-Community Patient Discovery)</a> and <a href="#">IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Portable Data for Imaging (PDI)</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The IHE XCA-I profile can be found in Section 2.1.27 of the IHE Radiology (RAD) linked above.</li> <li>IHE-PIX and IHE-XCPD are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need.</li> <li>For IHE-PDI, network transfers are preferable to digital media transfers, though the latter may be used when network solutions are not in place</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Exchanging Images Within a Specific Health Information Exchange Domain

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<a href="#">Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">DICOMweb Store (STOW) and Query/Retrieve (WADO) - PS3.18 DICOM Standard – Part 18: Web Services</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-Cross Enterprise Document Sharing for Images (XDS-I.b)</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PDQ (Patient Demographic Query)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE - Patient Identifier Cross-reference for Mobile (PIXm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE – WIA (Web-based Image Access)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IHE-PIX and IHE-PDQ are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to-serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Patient Identification Management

### Interoperability Need: Exchanging Patient Identification Management Within a Community

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PDOQ (Patient Demographic Query)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE – XCPD (Cross Community Patient Discovery)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE - Patient Identifier Cross-reference PIX for Mobile (PIXm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Section II: Patient Identification Management for more information about the HL7 2.5.1 ADT messaging standard and information about patient identity proofing.</li> <li>Consider use of HL7 FHIR Patient/\$match operation for MPI based query</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>



## Public Health Exchange

### Interoperability Need: Transport for Immunization Submission and Query/Response

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">CDC-EHR-IIS Interoperability Enhancement Project Transport Layer Protocol Recommendation Formal Specification, Version 1.2</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>

## Publish and Subscribe

### Interoperability Need: Publish and Subscribe Message Exchange

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Health Information Event Messaging Production Specification</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4 Subscription resource</a>	In Development	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Document Metadata Subscription (DSUB). Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●●○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The listed eHealth Exchange Specification will be deprecated in the future in favor of the emerging IHE DSUB specification and/or the equivalent FHIR profile.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- server and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Assertion Builder</b> – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>

## Query

### Interoperability Need: Data Element Based Query for Clinical Health Information

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DSTU2 Argonaut Data Query Implementation Guide Version 1.0.0</a>	Balloted Draft	Production	●●○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® R4 US Core IG</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Standard	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® RESTful API</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Mobile Cross-Enterprise Document Data Element Extraction (mXDE) Profile</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE Query for Existing Data for Mobile (QEDm)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Clinical Data Exchange (CDex) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Payer Data Exchange (PDex) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Payer Health Record Exchange (HREx) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The reference to FHIR for this interoperability need is in relation to the transport services that are conformant to the “<a href="#">RESTful FHIR API</a>”.</li> <li>Note that the maturity level of FHIR resources may vary. The FHIR Maturity Model and each of the levels is described on the <a href="#">HL7 wiki</a>.</li> <li>See <a href="#">FHIR projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved</li> <li><b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li><b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li><b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li><b>Patient Consent Information</b> - Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information.</li> <li>May be required to authorize access and use of patient information.</li> <li>May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply.</li> </ul> </li> <li><b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> <li><b>Query Request ID</b> - Query requesting application assigns a unique identifier for each query request in order to match the response to the original query.</li> </ul>

## Interoperability Need: Query for Documents Outside a Specific Health Information Exchange Domain

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-XCA (Cross-Community Access)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-XCPD (Cross-Community Patient Discovery)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Patient Discovery</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Messaging Platform</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Authorization Framework</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Query for Documents</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">eHealth Exchange Specification: Retrieve Documents</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DocumentReference resource</a>	In Development	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">Carequality Query-Based Document Exchange Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">CommonWell Health Alliance Specification Services</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Clinical Data Exchange (CDex) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Payer Data Exchange (PDex) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IHE-PIX and IHE-XCPD are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• While IHE-PIX and IHE-XCPD are best-available standards at this time, the current best-available standards may be insufficient to meet interoperability needs with sufficient accuracy.</li> <li>• The FHIR DocumentReference reference includes the Patient/\$match operation, which allows for patient matching using MPI-based logic.</li> <li>• See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>User Authentication</b> – The information and process necessary to authenticate the end user.</li> <li>• <b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> - identifies the roles and clearances asserted by the individual initiating the transaction for purposes of authorization. E.g., the system must verify the initiator’s claims and match them against the security labels for the functionalities that the user attempts to initiate and the objects the user attempts to access.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction, and for the purposes for which the end user intends to use the accessed objects.</li> <li>• <b>Patient Consent Information</b> - Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information.</li> <li>○ May be required to authorized access and use of patient information.</li> <li>○ May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b>Query Request ID</b> - Query requesting application assigns a unique identifier for each query request in order to match the response to the original query.</li> <li>• <b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Interoperability Need: Query for Documents Within a Specific Health Information Exchange Domain

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-XDS (Cross-enterprise document sharing)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PDQ (Patient Demographic Query)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●●	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-MHD (Mobile Access to Health Documents)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PIXm (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference for Mobile)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE-PDQm (Patient Demographics Query for Mobile)</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○○	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Clinical Data Exchange (CDex) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Payer Data Exchange (PDex) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>IHE-PIX and IHE-PDQ are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need along with IHE-XDS.</li> <li>The MHD Supplement Revision 2.2 published in April 2016 is based on FHIR DSTU2.</li> <li>IHE-PIXm and IHE-PDQm are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need along with MHD.</li> <li>See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Secure Communication</b> – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.</li> <li><b>Secure Message Router</b> – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.</li> <li><b>Authentication Enforcer</b> – centralized authentication processes.</li> <li><b>Authorization Enforcer</b> – specifies access control policies.</li> <li><b>Credential Tokenizer</b> – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (e.g., – SAML, Kerberos).</li> <li><b>Message Interceptor Gateway</b> – provide a single entry point solution for centralization of security enforcement for incoming and outgoing XML WebService messages.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li>• <b>User Authentication</b> – The identity information and process necessary verify the user’s identity.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Security Labeling</b> – the health information is labeled with security metadata</li> </ul>
--	--

## Resource Location

### Interoperability Need: Care Service Discovery Within the US

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Care Services Discovery (CSD), Trial Implementation</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes

<b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See <a href="#">IHE projects</a> in the Interoperability Proving Ground.</li> </ul>	<b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>System Authentication</b> - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved.</li> <li>• <b>User Details</b> - identifies the end user who is accessing the data.</li> <li>• <b>User Role</b> – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.</li> <li>• <b>Purpose of Use</b> - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.</li> </ul>
---	---

## Section IV: Administrative Standards and Implementation Specifications

### Administrative Transactions - Non-Claims

#### Interoperability Need: Administrative Transaction Acknowledgements

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12C/005010X231 Implementation Acknowledgment for Health Care Insurance (999), June 2007 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12C/005010X231A1 Type 1 Errata to Implementation Acknowledgment for Health Care Insurance (999), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/006020X290 Implementation Acknowledgment for Health Care Insurance (999), September 2013 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> <li>The acknowledgement transactions have not been adopted under HIPAA but may be used voluntarily between willing trading partners. Similarly, the operating rules available for use with these transactions may be used on a voluntary basis.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p>
--	---

## Interoperability Need: Enrollment and Disenrollment in a Health Plan

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X220 Benefit Enrollment and Maintenance (834), August 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X220A1 Type 1 Errata to Benefit Enrollment and Maintenance (834), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X307 Health Insurance Exchange: Enrollment (834), January 2013, as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.
- This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.
- Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.
- There are two versions of the enrollment transaction in use by industry today. One is the adopted transaction exchanged between a covered health plan and the employer, which is not a covered entity. The adoption rate is unknown. The other version, referred to as the HIX, has not been adopted by the federal government, but is used by the issuers participating in the federal marketplace or health insurance exchanges created by the Affordable Care Act. It includes data elements necessary to complete that enrollment process.
- [Additional information is available](#) on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions.
- For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the [X12 website](#). Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set. ASETT is the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.

### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A [self-assessment tool kit](#) is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.



## Interoperability Need: Health Care Eligibility Benefit Inquiry and Response

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X279 Health Care Eligibility Benefit Inquiry and Response (270/271), April 2008 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X279A1 Type 1 Errata to Health Care Eligibility Inquiry and Response (270/271), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Coverage Requirements Discovery (CRD) Implementation Guide</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Documentation Templates and Payer Rules (DTR) Implementation Guide</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	●○○○○	No	Free	No

### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.
- This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.
- Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.
- NCPDP is developing a Real Time Prescription Benefit standard that should be monitored as a potential emerging implementation specification. It is currently in beta status, with anticipated balloting expected Fall 2019.
- [Additional information is available](#) on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT is the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.
- For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the [X12 website](#). Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.

### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A [self-assessment tool kit](#) is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.

## Interoperability Need: Health Care Eligibility Benefit Inquiry and Response for Retail Pharmacy Coverage

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide, Version D, Release 0 (Version D.0), August 2010</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard, Implementation Guide, Version F2, March 2018 and Equivalent Batch Standard Implementation Guide, Version 15</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Provider Data Exchange (PDex: Formulary) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.
- This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.
- Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.
- Costs to access the NCPDP standards are based on membership status. [NCPDP's Standards Matrix](#) is available as a reference providing a high-level overview of the latest version/release and/or the most commonly used of NCPDP standards and implementation guides, as well as NCPDP's Data Dictionary and External Code List.
- The Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide Version F2 has been recommended for adoption under HIPAA by NCVHS. NCPDP is in the investigative stage of providing a test tool for this version.
- NCPDP is developing a Real Time Prescription Benefit standard that should be monitored as a potential emerging implementation specification. It is currently in beta status, with anticipated balloting expected Fall 2019.

### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A [self-assessment tool kit](#) is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.

## Administrative Transactions to Financial Exchanges

### Interoperability Need: Electronic Funds Transfer for Payments to Health Care Providers

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NACHA Operating Rules, Appendix One and Three ACH File Exchange Specifications;</a> <a href="#">ACH Record Format Specifications, Subpart 3.1.8 Sequence of Records for CCD Entries;</a> and <a href="#">Data content in CCD Addenda Record: ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3, "Health Care Claim Payment/Advice (835), April 2006: Section 2.4: 835 Segment Detail: "TRN"</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	\$	Yes

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>File testing is done between the banks and their originators of the ACH files, between the banks and the ACH Operators and for the software vendors with the ACH Operators. Testing for a new originator is done with the bank before they originate their first file. Testing between the banks and the ACH Operators is done when there is a new application – currently a lot of testing is being done between the banks and the ACH Operators for Same Day ACH Debits (to be implemented in September 2017). If a bank changes ACH processing software or hires a third-party vendor, testing will be done between the bank and the ACH Operator.</li> <li>Because only the current version of an ACH file format is allowed through the ACH Network, the originating bank reviews all files to make sure that the formatting is correct before they send the files to the ACH Operators. The ACH Operators also review all files to make sure that the mandatory fields within the ACH file are formatted correctly – if they are not the files are returned to the originating bank. Both the originating bank and the ACH Operators are looks at the files to make sure that the files are syntactically correct.</li> <li>ACH Network is an electronic funds transfer system governed by the <a href="#">NACHA Operating Rules</a>, which provides for interbank clearing of electronic entries for participating financial institutions.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities are required to meet HIPAA security and privacy requirements in order for Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) to occur.</li> <li>For Automated Clearing House (ACH) Network risks and enforcement, one can refer to <a href="#">NACHA's ACH Network Risk and Enforcement Topics</a> and <a href="#">2017 NACHA Operating Rules &amp; Guidelines</a>.</li> </ul>
---	---

## Interoperability Need: Health Care Payment and Remittance Advice

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X221 Health Care Claim Payment/Advice (835), April 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X221A1 Type 1 Errata to Health Care Claim Payment/Advice (835), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.
- Adoption of standards to increase the efficiency of the health care system was required by the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). This version of the standard was adopted in 2009, and compliance was required by January 2012. The purpose of the electronic standard transactions was to improve efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the electronic exchange of health care information.
- Challenges with this transaction may occur when the remittance information does not match the claim or the payment.
- [Additional information is available](#) on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT is the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.
- For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the [X12 website](#). Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.
- Pharmacy providers will receive the X12 835 remittance advice transaction with their payments, and [NCPDP](#) offers specific guidance on how the X12 835 remittance advice should be mapped in response to the NCPDP D.0 claim transaction to maximize reconciliation.

### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A [self-assessment tool kit](#) is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.

## Interoperability Need: Health Plan Premium Payments for Covered Members

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X218 Payroll Deducted and Other Group Premium Payment for Insurance Products (820), February 2007 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X306 Health Insurance Exchange Related Payments (820), January 2013 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	Feedback Requested	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.
- This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.
- Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.
- [Additional information is available](#) on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT is the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions
- For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the [X12 website](#). Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.

### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A [self-assessment tool kit](#) is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.

## Administrative Transactions to Support Clinical Care

### Interoperability Need: Health Care Attachments to Support Claims, Referrals and Authorizations

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/006020X315 Health Care Services Request for Review and Response (278), September 2014 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/006020X313 Health Care Claim Request for Additional Information (277), September 2014 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/006020X316 Additional Information to Support a Health Care Services Review (275), September 2014 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA® R2 Attachment Implementation Guide: Exchange of C-CDA Based Documents, Release 1 - US Realm</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® CDA R2 Implementation Guide: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm) Draft Standard for Trial Use Release 2.1</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Additional CDA R2 Templates - Clinical Documents for Payers – Set 1, Release 1.1 (US Realm)</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No

#### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The standards for attachments to support claims and other administrative transactions have not been adopted for use, though the original HIPAA legislation required their adoption, and the Affordable Care Act reiterated the requirement.
- A proposed rule was published in 2005, and a final rule was released in 2006, and then withdrawn.
- There are a few provider/payer partners who are voluntarily using standards that have not yet been adopted by Health and Human Services (HHS) for the purpose of exchanging attachments more efficiently. Other health care organizations may be interested in using new standards for the exchange of attachment information that have not yet been adopted by HHS. Use of standards that have not been adopted is permissible between willing trading partners. Pilots to test new standards under HIPAA is also permissible using the exception process under 162.940.
- CMS provides additional information about the [HIPAA administrative simplification](#) provisions.

#### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- Feedback requested.

## Interoperability Need: Referral Certification and Authorization for Pharmacy Transactions

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide, Version D, Release 0 (Version D.0), August 2010</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard Implementation Guide, Version 2013101</a>	Final	Production	●●○○○○	Yes	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard, Implementation Guide, Version F2, March 2018</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 201707</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No

### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- Costs for access to the NCPDP standards are based on membership. [NCPDP's Standards Matrix](#) is available as a reference providing a high-level overview of the latest version/release and/or the most commonly used of NCPDP standards and implementation guides, as well as NCPDP's Data Dictionary and External Code List.
- The Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide Version F2 and SCRIPT Standard Version 2017071 have been recommended for adoption under HIPAA by NCVHS.
- A Referral transaction is to be published in the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard.
- NCPDP is requesting feedback on the Emerging Transaction(s) within NCPDP SCRIPT Standard:
  - Patient Care Service Referral (ServiceReferral)
  - Patient Care Service Documentation (ServiceDocumentation)
  - Response to Request for Patient Care Service Referral (ResponseToReferralRequest)
  - Request for Patient Care Service Referral (RequestForReferral)
  - Response to Patient Care Service Referral (ServiceReferralResponse)

### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- Feedback requested.



**Interoperability Need: Referral Certification and Authorization Request and Response for Dental, Professional and Institutional Services**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X217 Health Care Services Review—Request for Review and Response (278), May 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Da Vinci Coverage Requirements Discovery (CRD) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Da Vinci Documentation Templates and Payer Rules (DTR) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® Da Vinci Prior Authorization Support (PAS) Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> <li>Adoption of standards to increase the efficiency of the health care system was required by the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). This version of the standard was adopted in 2009, and compliance was required by January 2012. The purpose of the electronic standard transactions was to improve efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the electronic exchange of health care information.</li> <li>Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.</li> <li><a href="#">Additional information is available</a> on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT is the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.</li> <li>For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the <a href="#">X12 website</a>. Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
--	--



• HL7 Da Vinci Use Cases:

- Coverage Requirements Discovery (CRD). The goal of the CRD use case is to give providers real-time access to payer approval requirements, documentation, and rules at point of service to reduce provider burden and support treatment planning.
- Documentation Templates and Payer Rules (DTR). The goal of the DTR use case is to reduce provider burden and simplify process by establishing electronic versions of administrative and clinical requirements that can become part of the providers workflow
- Prior Authorization Support (PAS). The goal of the PA use case is to define FHIR based services to enable provider, at the point of service, to request authorization (including all necessary clinical information to support the request) and receive immediate authorization
- Note: all Da Vinci use cases are piloted and tested during regular connectathons hosted by HL7 and approved professional affiliates throughout the year. To learn more about connectathons and other Da Vinci use cases or FHIR accelerator programs, visit [www.hl7.org](http://www.hl7.org) or <http://www.hl7.org/about/davinci/use-cases.cfm>

## CMS Interoperability Standards for Provider to Provider Communication

### Interoperability Need: Durable Medical Equipment/Home Health Agency Document Request

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">CMS EMDI Program Guide Section 2.3.2 and Appendix B</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General information about the Electronic Medical Documentation Interoperability (EMDI) program is available from the <a href="#">CMS website</a>.</li> <li>• Organizations may use an internal (native) or external EHR/document management system that provides the following capabilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Send and receive patient records to providers with electronic referrals.</li> <li>○ Send and receive documents related to the use cases using secure messaging.</li> <li>○ Integrate information from other systems, as required, to provide complete documentation.</li> <li>○ Send multiple documents, as necessary, to meet the use cases.</li> <li>○ Create metadata where appropriate.</li> <li>○ Ensure that all documents clearly indicate the patient and provider responsible for each item of documentation created or signed off by a different provider.</li> <li>○ Clearly indicate the document type (e.g. Mime type) for each document.</li> <li>○ Provide electronic or digital signature capabilities for all clinical documents.</li> <li>○ Consume the associated clinical data and integrate it into the patient’s medical record.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Best practices for this interoperability need include including previous treatment attempts in current durable medical equipment request.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
---	---

**Interoperability Need: Durable Medical Equipment/Home Health Agency Order Submission**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<i>Emerging Implementation Specification</i>	<a href="#">CMS EMDI Program Guide Section 2.3.2 and Appendix B</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General information about the Electronic Medical Documentation Interoperability (EMDI) program is available from the <a href="#">CMS website</a>.</li> <li>• Organizations may use an internal (native) or external EHR/document management system that provides the following capabilities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Send and receive patient records to providers with electronic referrals.</li> <li>○ Send and receive documents related to the use cases using secure messaging.</li> <li>○ Integrate information from other systems, as required, to provide complete documentation.</li> <li>○ Send multiple documents, as necessary, to meet the use cases.</li> <li>○ Create metadata where appropriate.</li> <li>○ Ensure that all documents clearly indicate the patient and provider responsible for each item of documentation created or signed off by a different provider.</li> <li>○ Clearly indicate the document type (e.g. Mime type) for each document.</li> <li>○ Provide electronic or digital signature capabilities for all clinical documents.</li> <li>○ Consume the associated clinical data and integrate it into the patient’s medical record.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Best practices for this interoperability need dictate including reason or indication for the order as part of the durable medical equipment order, and including medical necessity either in the order or comment field of the order to ensure stakeholder alignment on the patient's needs.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Interoperability Need: Durable Medical Equipment/Home Health Agency Signature Request

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#"><u>CMS EMDI Program Guide Section 2.3.2 and Appendix B</u></a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Pilot</i>	<i>Feedback requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General information about the Electronic Medical Documentation Interoperability (EMDI) program is available from the <a href="#"><u>CMS website</u></a>.</li> <li>• Organizations may use an internal (native) or external EHR/document management system that provides the following capabilities:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Send and receive patient records to providers with electronic referrals.</li> <li>○ Send and receive documents related to the use cases using secure messaging.</li> <li>○ Integrate information from other systems, as required, to provide complete documentation.</li> <li>○ Send multiple documents, as necessary, to meet the use cases.</li> <li>○ Create metadata where appropriate.</li> <li>○ Ensure that all documents clearly indicate the patient and provider responsible for each item of documentation created or signed off by a different provider.</li> <li>○ Clearly indicate the document type (e.g. Mime type) for each document.</li> <li>○ Provide electronic or digital signature capabilities for all clinical documents.</li> <li>○ Consume the associated clinical data and integrate it into the patient’s medical record.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
---	---

# Health Care Claims and Coordination of Benefits

## Interoperability Need: Health Care Claim Status Request and Response

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">X12N/005010X212 Health Care Claim Status Request and Response (276/277), August 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> <li>This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.</li> <li>Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.</li> <li><a href="#">Additional information is available</a> on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions.</li> <li>For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the <a href="#">X12 website</a>. Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
---	--

**Interoperability Need: Health Care Claims or Equivalent Encounter Information for Dental Claims**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X224 ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3—Health Care Claim: Dental (837), May 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X224A2 Type 1 Errata to Health Care Claim: Dental (837), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> <li>This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.</li> <li>This transaction is also used to conduct coordination of benefits (COB) between entities that agree to do so.</li> <li>Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.</li> <li><a href="#">Additional information is available</a> on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.</li> <li>For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the <a href="#">X12 website</a>. Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
---	--

**Interoperability Need: Health Care Claims or Equivalent Encounter Information for Institutional Claims**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">ASC X12/N005010X223 Health Care Claim: Institutional (837), May 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X223A2 Type 1 Errata to Health Care Claim: Institutional (837), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® CARIN Blue Button Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> <li>This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. This information is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.</li> <li>This transaction is also used to conduct coordination of benefits between entities that agree to use it between their two organizations.</li> <li>Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.</li> <li><a href="#">Additional information is available</a> on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.</li> <li>For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the <a href="#">X12 website</a>. Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
--	--

**Interoperability Need: Health Care Claims or Equivalent Encounter Information for Professional Claims**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X222 Health Care Claim: Professional (837), May 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a> and <a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X222A1 Type 1 Errata to Health Care Claim: Professional (837), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® CARIN Blue Button Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> <li>This standard and the transaction were adopted under the Health Insurance Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) to increase efficiency in the health care system by reducing the use of paper and increasing the exchange of health care information electronically. The current version was adopted in 2009, and required for use in 2012. Content from the transactions is often maintained in provider practice management and billing systems but duplicates information in electronic health records.</li> <li>Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.</li> <li><a href="#">Additional information is available</a> on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
--	--



**Interoperability Need: Health Care Claims or Equivalent Encounter Information for Retail Pharmacy Claims**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide, Version D, Release 0 (Version D.0), August 2010</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard, Implementation Guide, Version F2, March 2018 and Equivalent Batch Standard Implementation Guide, Version 15</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Batch Standard Medicaid Subrogation Implementation Guide, Version 3, Release 0 (Version 3.0), July 2007</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Batch Standard Medicaid Subrogation Implementation Guide, Version 10</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Provider Data Exchange (PDex: Formulary) Implementation Guide</a>	In Development	Feedback Requested	Feedback Requested	No	Free	No

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The pharmacy telecommunication standard provides a standard format for the electronic submission of third party drug claims and other transactions between pharmacy providers, health plans (payers, insurance companies), third-party administrators, and others with financial responsibility.</li> <li>Under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), all covered entities – health plans, clearinghouses and covered health care providers are required to use the telecommunication standard for claim and service billing, as well as eligibility verification, predetermination of benefits, and prior authorization. The standard can perform a variety of other functions as well.</li> <li>Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.</li> <li>Costs for access to the NCPDP standards are based on membership. <a href="#">NCPDP's Standards Matrix</a> is available as a reference providing a high-level overview of the latest version/release and/or the most commonly used of NCPDP standards and implementation guides, as well as NCPDP's Data Dictionary and External Code List.</li> <li>The Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide Version F2 and Subrogation standard have been requested for adoption under HIPAA by NCVHS (in February 2018), and NCPDP is in the investigative stage of providing a test tool for this version.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
--	--

**Interoperability Need: Health Care Claims or Equivalent Encounter Information for Retail Pharmacy Supplies and Professional Services**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide, Version D, Release 0 (Version D.0), August 2010</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">ASC X12N/005010X222 Health Care Claim: Professional (837), May 2006 as an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3 and ASC X12N/005010X222A1 Type 1 Errata to Health Care Claim: Professional (837), June 2010 as Type 1 Errata to an ASC X12 Standards for Electronic Data Interchange Technical Report Type 3</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	<a href="#">Yes</a>
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Uniform Healthcare Payer Data Standard Implementation Guide V24</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Telecommunication Standard, Implementation Guide, Version F2, March 2018 and Equivalent Batch Standard Implementation Guide, Version 15</a>	Final	Pilot	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Batch Standard Medicaid Subrogation Implementation Guide, Version 3, Release 0 (Version 3.0), July 2007</a>	Final	Production	●●●●●	Yes	\$	
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Uniform Healthcare Payer Data Standard Implementation Guide V28</a>	Final	Production	●○○○○	No	\$	No
Emerging Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Batch Standard Medicaid Subrogation Implementation Guide, Version 10</a>	Final	Pilot	Feedback Requested	No	\$	

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Administrative Simplification provisions of HIPAA apply to the adoption of electronic transaction standards and operating rules for use in the health care industry. HIPAA has some different requirements for information exchange than EHRs, but there is hope for convergence in the future. Information about the HIPAA regulations regarding standards and operating rules can be found at <a href="https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html">https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Administrative-Simplification/HIPAA-ACA/index.html</a>. Readers can find information about requirements for covered entities and their business associates and enforcement from this link.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All covered entities and their business associates are required to comply with the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. Health and Human Services has partnered with the Office of the National Coordinator and the National Institutes of Standards and Technology to publish comprehensive guidance for Security specific to electronic protected health information. A <a href="#">self-assessment tool kit</a> is available to support integrating privacy and security into practices.</li> </ul>
---	--

- The pharmacy telecommunication standard provides a standard format for the electronic submission of third party drug claims and other transactions between pharmacy providers, health plans (payers, insurance companies), third-party administrators, and others with financial responsibility.
- Under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), all covered entities – health plans, clearinghouses and covered health care providers are required to use the telecommunication standard for eligibility verification as well as claim and service billing, predetermination of benefits, and prior authorization. The standard can perform a variety of other functions as well.
- Before implementation of a new version of a standard, end to end testing should be conducted with vendor systems and between trading partners to ensure changes have been accommodated.
- Costs to access the NCPDP standards is based on membership. [NCPDP's Standards Matrix](#) is available as a reference providing a high-level overview of the latest version/release and/or the most commonly used of NCPDP standards and implementation guides, as well as NCPDP's Data Dictionary and External Code List.
- [Additional information is available](#) on testing, and the full cost on any of the X12 transactions. ASETT the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.
- For issues related to enforcement of the HIPAA standards and operating rules, ASETT is the HHS compliance tool to enable testing and complaint filing for all X12 and NCPDP transactions.
- The Telecommunication Standard Implementation Guide Version F2 has been requested for adoption under HIPAA by NCVHS, and NCPDP is in the investigative stage of providing a test tool for this version.
- For a description of the functionality of each transaction, visit the [X12 website](#). Click on a transaction set name to toggle the display of the purpose and scope of that transaction set.

## Operating Rules to Support Administrative Transactions

### Interoperability Need: Operating Rules for Claims, Enrollment, and Premium Payments (Phase IV)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Operating Rules	<a href="#">CAQH CORE Phase IV Operating Rules Set</a>	Final	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes

#### Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- Operating rules were adopted as a requirement of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, under section 1104, Administrative Simplification. Note: Phase IV operating rules have not yet been recommended for adoption by NCVHS but are available for *voluntary use*.
- Operating rules are intended to support and enhance the use of the standard transactions. They include additional requirements to help implement the transaction in a more uniform way across health plans, and ensure a more complete set of information in the response.
- Operating rules are developed through workgroups which are consensus driven, based on the members who participate. Greater participation from more diverse members will result in more robust content and utility to enable the rules to support the transactions and serve the users effectively.
- The Phase IV CAQH CORE Operating Rules, available for use on a *voluntary basis* as of September 2015, include:
  - Phase IV CAQH CORE 450: Health Care Claim (837) Infrastructure Rule
  - Phase IV CAQH CORE 452: Health Care Services Review – Request for Review and Response (278) Infrastructure Rule
  - Phase IV CAQH CORE 454: Benefit Enrollment and Maintenance (834) Infrastructure Rule
  - Phase IV CAQH CORE 456: Payroll Deducted and Other Group Premium Payment for Insurance Products (820) Infrastructure Rule
  - Phase IV CAQH CORE 470: Connectivity Rule
- [Testing or certification](#) with the operating rules is voluntary and available through a vendor contracted to the authoring entity. The checklist is available on the website.
- CAQH CORE maintains a host of [free implementation tools](#) to support operating rule adoption on its website. Additionally, CAQH CORE offers regular [educational webinars](#) which are archived on its website to drive greater industry awareness of the value of operating rules in collaboration with leading healthcare organizations.

#### Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

**Interoperability Need: Operating Rules for Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) and Electronic Remittance Advice (ERA) for Payments and Reconciliation (Phase III)**

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">CAQH, Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange, Phase III CORE EFT &amp; ERA Operating Rule Set Approved June 2012</a>	Final	Production	●●●○○	Yes	Free	Yes <sup>s</sup>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating rules were adopted as a requirement of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, under section 1104, Administrative Simplification.</li> <li>Operating rules are intended to support and enhance the use of the standard transactions. They include additional requirements to help implement the transaction in a more uniform way across health plans, and ensure a more complete set of information in the response. For example, rather than just “yes or no” for eligibility information, the operating rule requires health plans to return patient eligibility and financial responsibility for a list of service types such as emergency care, inpatient hospitalization, and dental and vision services.</li> <li>Operating rules include other business rules to support the eligibility transaction as it moves between the provider and the health plan, such as the format of the health plan’s companion guide (see CORE Rule 152), as well as response time for real time and batch transactions (see CORE Rules 155 and 156).</li> <li>Operating rules are developed through workgroups which are consensus driven, based on the members who participate. Greater participation from more diverse members will result in more robust content and utility to enable to the rules to support the transactions and serve the users effectively. This is where the convergence of administrative and clinical systems will take place with respect to patient benefit information in the electronic health record.</li> <li>These operating rules include CAQH CORE policies for voluntary testing and certification, which are not mandatory. The other rules support the EFT and ERA through a range of requirements, from the companion guide template, to the uniform use of combinations for certain Claim and Remark Codes (<a href="#">CARCs and RARCs</a>), to certain standard data elements for <a href="#">enrolling</a> providers electronically for EFT or <a href="#">ERA</a> transactions.</li> <li>Phase III Operating Rules for Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) and Electronic Remittance Advice (ERA) for Payments and Reconciliation include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Phase III CORE 350: Healthcare Claim Payment/Advice (835) Infrastructure Rule</li> <li>(2) Phase III CORE 360: Uniform Use of CARCs and RARCs (835) Rule</li> <li>(3) Phase III CORE 370: EFT and ERA Reassociation (CCD+/835) Rule</li> <li>(4) Phase III CORE 380: EFT Enrollment Data Rule</li> <li>(5) Phase III CORE 382: ERA Enrollment Data Rule</li> </ul> </li> <li><a href="#">Testing or certification</a> with the operating rules is voluntary and available through a vendor contracted to the authoring entity. The checklist is available on the website.</li> <li>CAQH CORE maintains a host of <a href="#">free implementation tools</a> to support operating rule adoption on its website. Additionally, CAQH CORE offers regular <a href="#">educational webinars</a> which are archived on its website to drive greater industry awareness of the value of operating rules in collaboration with leading healthcare organizations.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
---	---

## Interoperability Need: Operating Rules for Prior Authorization (Phase V)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">CAQH CORE Phase V Operating Rules</a>	Balloted Draft	Pilot	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	Free	Yes <sup>s</sup>
<b>Emerging Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">HL7® FHIR® DaVinci Prior Authorization Support Implementation Guide</a>	<i>In Development</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>Feedback Requested</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Free</i>	<i>No</i>

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Phase V operating rules were approved by the CAQH CORE work group in May 2019 after an environmental scan with industry participants and several multi-stakeholder meetings. They have not yet been proposed to the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics (NCVHS) for consideration to be recommended for adoption by the Secretary of Health and Human Services (as of October 2019).</li> <li>• Operating rules are intended to support the use of adopted standard transactions under the Health Insurance and Portability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). They include additional requirements to help health plans and providers implement each transaction in a more uniform way and ensure more consistent use of the transactions.</li> <li>• The Draft Phase V CAQH CORE Operating Rules, approved by the work group in 2019, include:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Prior Authorization (278) Request / Response Data Content Rule</li> <li>▪ Prior Authorization Web Portal Rule</li> </ul> </li> <li>• <a href="#">Testing or certification</a> with the operating rules is voluntary and available through a vendor contracted to the authoring entity. The checklist is available on the website.</li> <li>• CAQH CORE maintains a host of <a href="#">free implementation tools</a> to support operating rule adoption on its website. Additionally, CAQH CORE offers regular <a href="#">educational webinars</a> which are archived on its website to drive greater industry awareness of the value of operating rules in collaboration with leading healthcare organizations.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
---	---

### Interoperability Need: Operating Rules to Support Electronic Prescribing Transactions

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">NCPDP Operating Rules for the X12 270/271 Transactions in Electronic Prescribing v1.0</a>	Final	Production	● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○	No	\$	No

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corresponds with NCPDP Formulary and Benefit Standard v50 and later which has not been named in regulation.</li> <li>This is not related to the HIPAA standards, but rather to electronic prescription standards adopted under a different statutory authority.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
---	---

### Interoperability Need: Operating Rules to Support Eligibility and Claim Status Transactions (Phase II)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	<a href="#">CAQH, Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange, CORE Phase II Policies and Operating Rules, Approved July 2008, v5010, Update March 2011</a>	Final	Production	● ● ● ● ○	Yes	Free	Yes

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Operating rules were adopted as a requirement of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, under section 1104, Administrative Simplification.</li> <li>Operating rules are intended to support and enhance the use of the standard transactions. They include additional requirements to help implement the transaction in a more uniform way across health plans, and ensure a more complete set of information in the response. For example, rather than just “yes or no” for eligibility information, the operating rule requires health plans to return patient eligibility and financial responsibility for a list of service types such as emergency care, inpatient hospitalization, and dental and vision services.</li> <li>Operating rules include other business rules to support the eligibility transaction as it moves between the provider and the health plan, such as the format of the health plan’s companion guide (see CORE Rule 152), as well as response time for real time and batch transactions (see CORE Rules 155 and 156).</li> <li>Operating rules are developed through workgroups which are consensus driven, based on the members who participate. Greater participation from more diverse members will result in more robust content and utility to enable to the rules to support the transactions and serve the users effectively. This is where the</li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
--	---

convergence of administrative and clinical systems will take place with respect to patient benefit information in the electronic health record.

- Phase II eligibility and claim status operating rules include:
  - (1) Phase II CORE 250: Claim Status Rule, version 2.1.0 March 2011 (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).
  - (2) Phase II CORE 258: Eligibility and Benefits 270/271 Normalizing Patient Last Name Rule, version 2.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).
  - (3) Phase II CORE 259: Eligibility and Benefits 270/271 AAA Error Code Reporting Rule, version 2.1.0. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).
  - (4) Phase II CORE 260: Eligibility & Benefits Data Content (270/271) Rule, version 2.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).
  - (5) Phase II CORE 270: Connectivity Rule, version 2.2.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).(b).
- [Testing or certification](#) with the operating rules is voluntary and available through a vendor contracted to the authoring entity. The checklist is available on the website.
- CAQH CORE maintains a host of [free implementation tools](#) to support operating rule adoption on its website. Additionally, CAQH CORE offers regular [educational webinars](#) which are archived on its website to drive greater industry awareness of the value of operating rules in collaboration with leading healthcare organizations.



## Interoperability Need: Operating Rules to Support Eligibility Transactions (Phase I)

Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Federally Required	Cost	Test Tool Availability
<b>Implementation Specification</b>	<a href="#">CAQH, Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange, CORE Phase I Policies and Operating Rules, Approved April 2006, v5010 Update March 2011</a>	Final	Production	●●●●○	Yes	Free	Yes

<p><b>Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operating rules were adopted as a requirement of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, under section 1104, Administrative Simplification.</li> <li>• Operating rules are intended to support and enhance the use of the standard transactions. They include additional requirements to help implement the transaction in a more uniform way across health plans, and ensure a more complete set of information in the response. For example, rather than just “yes or no” for eligibility information, the operating rule requires health plans to return patient eligibility and financial responsibility for a list of service types such as emergency care, inpatient hospitalization, and dental and vision services.</li> <li>• Operating rules include other business rules to support the eligibility transaction as it moves between the provider and the health plan, such as the format of the health plan’s companion guide (see CORE Rule 152), as well as response time for real time and batch transactions (see CORE Rules 155 and 156).</li> <li>• Operating rules are developed through workgroups which are consensus driven, based on the members who participate. Greater participation from more diverse members will result in more robust content and utility to enable the rules to support the transactions and serve the users effectively. This is where the convergence of administrative and clinical systems will take place with respect to patient benefit information in the electronic health record.</li> <li>• Phase I eligibility operating rules include:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ (1) Phase I CORE 150: Batch Acknowledgement (not adopted) version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li> <li>▪ (2) Phase I CORE 151 Real Time Acknowledgement (not adopted) version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Although Phase I CORE 150 &amp; 151 operating rules are not part of the federal mandate for adoption of Phase I CAQH CORE Operating Rules, they are required for voluntary CORE Certification.</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ (3) Phase I CORE 152: Eligibility and Benefit Real Time Companion Guide Rule, version 1.1.0, March 2011, and CORE v5010 Master Companion Guide Template. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li> <li>▪ (4) Phase I CORE 153: Eligibility and Benefits Connectivity Rule, version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback requested.</li> </ul>
--	---

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ (5) Phase I CORE 154: Eligibility and Benefits 270/271 Data Content Rule, version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li><li>▪ (6) Phase I CORE 155: Eligibility and Benefits Batch Response Time Rule, version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li><li>▪ (7) Phase I CORE 156: Eligibility and Benefits Real Time Response Rule, version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li><li>▪ (8) Phase I CORE 157: Eligibility and Benefits System Availability Rule, version 1.1.0, March 2011. (Incorporated by reference in § 162.920).</li><li>• <a href="#">Testing or certification</a> with the operating rules is voluntary and available through a vendor contracted to the authoring entity. The checklist is available on the website.</li><li>• CAQH CORE maintains a host of <a href="#">free implementation tools</a> to support operating rule adoption on its website. Additionally, CAQH CORE offers regular <a href="#">educational webinars</a> which are archived on its website to drive greater industry awareness of the value of operating rules in collaboration with leading healthcare organizations.</li></ul> |  |
|---|--|

## Appendices

Appendices, including [Sources for Security Standards/Security Patterns](#), [Models and Profiles](#), and [Educational/Informational Resources](#), and [State and Local Public Health Readiness for Interoperability](#) are available for viewing online at [www.healthit.gov/isa](http://www.healthit.gov/isa).